



Volume 45, Issue 7, Page 9-17, 2023; Article no.JEAI.98441 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Construction of Knowledge Test to Measure the Knowledge of KVK Beneficiary Farmers on Farming Practices

S. Ramalakshmi Devi ^{a++*}, P. V. Sathya Gopal ^{b#}, B. Vijayabhinandana ^{c,d#†}, K. Srinivasulu ^{e‡} and V. Sreenivasa Rao ^{f#^}

^a DAATTC, Utukur, Kadapa, India. ^b Institute of Agribusiness Management, S. V. Agricultural College, Tirupati, India. ^c ANGRAU, India. ^d Department of Agricultural Extension, Agricultural College, Bapatla, India. ^e Department of Agronomy, Agricultural College, Bapatla, India. ^f Department of Statistics and Computer Applications, Agricultural College, Bapatla, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between all authors. Author SRD designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol, and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors PVSG and BV managed the analyses of the study. Author KS and Author VSR managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JEAI/2023/v45i72127

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98441

> Received: 08/02/2023 Accepted: 10/04/2023 Published: 18/04/2023

Original Research Article

⁺⁺ Scientist (ToT);

[#] Professor & University Head;

[†] Director of Extension (FAC);

[‡] Professor;

[^]Associate Dean;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: s.ramalakshmidevi@angrau.ac.in;

J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 9-17, 2023

ABSTRACT

Knowledge on farming practices helps in implementing the best practices in the farm to improve the production system to get higher returns by the farmers. Krishi Vigyan Kendras are the knowledge network centres with district as jurisdiction. Due to the limited availability of knowledge tests to measure the knowledge of Krishi Vigyan Kendra KVK beneficiary farmers on farming practices, an attempt had been made to develop a test for measuring knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers on farming practices. For this purpose, pertinent items were collected covering all aspects of farming practices. After getting jury opinion on the items of test, the item difficulty index, item discrimination index and point bi-serial correlation were worked out. Thirty eight statements were finally selected from 60 statements to measure the knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers on farming practices. To administer the knowledge testa score of one was given for each correct answer and zero was given for a wrong answer. Thus the standardized knowledge test developed in this study can be used to measure the knowledge of beneficiary farmers by any KVK and the respondents can be categorized into low, medium and high groups based on the mean and standard deviation.

Keywords: Farming practices; agricultural technologies; bi serial correlation; agricultural stakeholders.

1. INTRODUCTION

Knowledge plays an important role in increasing the production and good returns by the farmers. Krishi Vigyan Kendras (KVK) or farm science centres are the knowledge network centres, established by the Indian Council for Agricultural Research (ICAR) and its affiliated institutions with the "District" as the jurisdiction, serving as the ultimate link between Indian Council of Agriculture and farmers to apply agricultural research in practical and localized settings. There are 13 KVKs in Andhra Pradesh under the control of Acharya N.G.Ranga Agricultural University creating awareness about improved agricultural technologies through large number of extension programmes. All agricultural stakeholders have witnessed the growth of the KVK network, but not much attention has been given to empirical evidence for this [1-6].

Hence, in the present study an attempt had been made to develop a test for measuring knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers on farming practices. Knowledge in this study was operationalized as the "quantum of technical information possessed by the KVK beneficiary farmers on farming practices". A knowledge test was developed with 38 items to measure the Knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers on farming practices. Each item was measured on two point continuum. i.e. Correct and incorrect with '1' and '0' respectively. The maximum and minimum scores to be obtained by the individual respondent were 38 and 0 respectively. Knowledge score of a respondent is the summation of correctly answered items out of total of 38 items. Thus the

standardized knowledge test developed in this study can be used for measuring the knowledge level of beneficiary farmers by any Krishi Vigyan Kendra with suitable modifications.

2. METHODOLOGY

The knowledge test to measure the knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers was constructed duly following the steps involved in knowledge test construction *viz.*, collection and framing of knowledge items, selection of items for item analysis, item analysis, reliability of the test and validity of the test

2.1 Collection and Framing of Knowledge Items

A comprehensive list of knowledge questions on farming practices were prepared by consulting the scientists of KVK, DAATTC and ARS, Utukur of Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University, Lam, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh. In addition to this, books, magazines and journals were also referred for collection and framing of knowledge items.

2.1.1 Selection of items for item analysis

The selection of items was done on the basis of following criteria.

- 1. Response to items should promote thinking rather than routine memorization
- 2. They should differentiate the well-informed respondent from less informed and should have certain difficulty value.

3. The items included should cover all areas of knowledge about general agriculture/farming practices

By using the criteria above, 60 items were selected for developing knowledge test, after editing carefully and by subjecting them to expert's endorsement. The items were then framed into objective form questions and this form, the answers in were completely controlled by having true/ false, yes/ no, multiple choice and fill in the blanks and therefore the assessment was objective and impersonal.

2.1.2 Pre-testing

Pre-testing of the items was done as suggested by Gonard (1948) by administering all the 60 questions to 30 KVK beneficiary farmers in nonsample area. The scores allotted were '1' for correct response and '0' for incorrect response. After computing total scores obtained by each of the 30 respondents on 60 items, they were arranged in the descending order. Then the respondents were divided into six equal groups of five members each and were labelled as G1, G2, G3, G4, G5 and G6. For the purpose of item analysis the middle two groups G3 and G4 were eliminated keeping only four extreme groups with high and low scores.

2.1.3 Item analysis

The item analysis was carried out as per the standard procedure, so as to yield three kinds of information *viz.*, "index of item difficulty", "item discrimination index" and "point biserial correlation". The index of the item difficulty reveals how difficult an item is, whereas discrimination index indicates the extent to which an item discriminates the well-informed farmer from the poorly informed farmer. The point biserial correlation provides information on how well an item measures or discriminates with the rest of the test items.

i. Item difficulty index (P)

The item difficulty index for each of 60 items was calculated as the percentage of the farmers answering an item correctly. Difficulty index was computed by using the following formula

$$\frac{\text{Difficulty index} =}{\frac{\text{Number of KVK beneficiary farmers answered correctly}}{\text{Total number of KVK beneficiary farmers}} \dots (1)$$

ii. Discrimination index (E ^{1/3})

Discrimination index of each of the 60 items were indicated by 'E $^{1/3}$ ' and calculated by the following formula.

$$\mathsf{E}^{1/3} = \frac{(S1+S2) - (S5+S6)}{N_{/3}} \dots$$
(2)

Where S1, S2, S5 and S6 are the frequencies of correct answers in groups G1, G2, G5 and G6 respectively. N is the total number of farmers of the sample selected for items analysis *i.e.*, 30.

iii. Point biserial correlation (rpbis)

The main aim of calculating point biserial correlation (rpbis) was to work out the internal consistency of the items *i.e.*, the relationship of the total score to a dichotomized answer to any given item. In a way, the validity power of the item was computed by the correlation of individual item of preliminary knowledge test calculated by using following formula.

rpbis =
$$\frac{M_P - M_Q}{SD} \sqrt{PQ} \dots$$
 (3)

Where,

r pbis = point biserial correlation coefficient MP = Mean of the total scores of the respondents who answered the item correctly or Sum of the total of XY

$$MP = \frac{1}{Total no.of correct answers}$$

MQ = Mean of the total scores of the respondents who answered the item incorrectly or

 $MQ = \frac{1}{Total no.of wrong answers}$

SD = Standard deviation of entire samplep = Proportion of respondents giving correctanswer to the item

q = Proportion of respondents giving incorrect answer to the item

$$q = 1 - p$$

X = Total score of the respondents for all items

Y = Response of the individual for the items

XY = Total score of the farmers multiplied by the response of the individual to the item.

2.2 Selection of the Items

Out of 60 items, 38 items were finally selected based on

1. Items with difficulty indices ranging from 20 to 80.

Item No	Fr	requen	cy of c	correct	S1+ S5 +S6		Total frequencies of	Difficulty	Discrimination	Point Biserial	ltem
	an	swers	in the	groups	S2		Correct answers by	index	Index (=1/3)	Correlation	selected for
	(G1, G2	, G5 ar	nd G6			all Six groups		(Ľ)	(r pbis)	the study
	S1	S2	S5	S6	_		0				•
1.	4	5	4	4	9	8	17	0.85	0.10	0.678	No
2.	5	5	4	3	10	7	17	0.85	0.30	0.283	No
3.	5	2	3	1	7	4	11	0.55	0.30	0.336	Yes
4.	5	4	4	3	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	0.387	Yes
5.	5	5	5	3	10	8	18	0.90	0.20	0.101	No
6.	4	4	1	1	8	2	10	0.50	0.60	0.562	Yes
7.	5	5	5	4	10	9	19	0.95	0.10	0.011	No
8.	5	2	3	1	7	4	11	0.55	0.30	0.315	Yes
9.	5	4	2	3	9	5	14	0.70	0.40	0.591	Yes
10.	5	4	4	1	9	5	14	0.70	0.40	0.327	Yes
11.	3	3	3	0	6	3	9	0.45	0.30	0.331	Yes
12.	5	5	5	3	10	8	18	0.93	0.20	0.281	No
13.	2	1	1	1	3	2	5	0.25	0.10	0.107	No
14.	2	2	1	1	4	2	6	0.30	0.20	0.255	No
15.	5	5	3	3	10	6	16	0.80	0.40	0.516	Yes
16.	5	5	5	3	10	8	18	0.90	0.20	0.255	No
17.	5	4	3	2	9	5	14	0.70	0.40	0.412	Yes
18.	5	5	1	3	10	4	13	0.65	0.60	0.621	Yes
19.	4	5	2	2	9	4	13	0.65	0.50	0.676	Yes
20.	5	5	1	3	10	4	13	0.65	0.60	0.580	Yes
21.	5	3	0	3	8	3	11	0.55	0.50	0.704	Yes
22.	4	3	2	1	7	3	10	0.50	0.40	0.727	Yes
23.	5	5	1	3	10	4	14	0.70	0.60	0.623	Yes
24.	4	3	1	1	7	2	9	0.45	0.50	0.566	Yes
25.	5	4	4	1	9	5	14	0.70	0.40	0.491	Yes
26.	3	4	2	1	7	3	10	0.50	0.40	0.674	Yes
27.	4	5	3	2	9	5	14	0.70	0.40	0.373	Yes
28.	4	2	2	1	6	3	9	0.45	0.30	0.309	Yes
29.	5	5	1	2	10	3	13	0.65	0.70	0.335	Yes

Table 1. Selection of items for final knowledge test based on Item difficulty index, Item discrimination index and Point biserial correlation values

Devi et al.: J. Exp	Aaric. Int., vo	l. 45. no. 7. pp.	. 9-17. 2023: Article	no.JEAI.98441
2011 01 all, 01 2/10	g			

Item No	o Frequency of correct			S1+ S5 +S6		Total frequencies of	Difficulty	Discrimination	Point Biserial	ltem	
	an	swers	in the	aroups	S2		Correct answers by	index	Index /=1/3	Correlation	selected for
		G1, G2	, G5 ar	nd G6			all Six groups		(=)	(r pbis)	the study
	S 1	S2	S5	S6			5			、 、 、 、	
30.	4	4	3	2	8	5	13	0.65	0.30	0.591	Yes
31.	5	4	4	3	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	0.173	No
32.	2	2	0	1	4	1	5	0.25	0.30	0.011	No
33.	3	4	2	2	7	4	11	0.55	0.30	0.369	Yes
34.	5	4	4	3	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	-0.678	No
35.	5	4	4	3	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	-0.076	No
36.	5	4	4	1	9	5	14	0.70	0.40	0.387	Yes
37.	5	2	3	1	7	4	11	0.55	0.30	0.422	Yes
38.	3	4	2	1	7	3	10	0.50	0.40	0.720	Yes
39.	4	2	2	1	6	3	9	0.45	0.30	0.372	Yes
40.	4	5	3	4	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	0.176	No
41.	4	5	3	4	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	0.281	No
42.	2	2	1	1	4	2	6	0.30	0.20	0.107	No
43.	4	5	3	4	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	0.164	No
44.	2	2	1	1	4	2	6	0.30	0.20	-0.048	No
45.	4	5	3	4	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	0.107	No
46.	4	5	3	4	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	0.255	No
47.	3	2	1	1	5	2	7	0.35	0.30	0.387	Yes
48.	2	3	1	2	5	3	8	0.40	0.20	0.422	Yes
49.	2	2	1	1	4	2	6	0.30	0.20	0.154	No
50.	2	2	1	1	4	2	6	0.30	0.20	0.252	No
51.	5	4	3	3	9	6	15	0.75	0.30	0.372	Yes
52.	5	4	2	2	9	4	13	0.65	0.50	0.375	Yes
53.	5	4	2	1	9	3	12	0.60	0.60	0.590	Yes
54.	4	3	2	1	7	3	10	0.50	0.40	0.562	Yes
55.	4	3	1	1	7	2	9	0.45	0.50	0.674	Yes
56.	4	5	2	3	9	5	14	0.70	0.40	0.491	Yes
57.	4	5	2	2	9	4	13	0.65	0.50	0.580	Yes
58.	4	2	3	1	6	4	10	0.50	0.20	0.615	Yes
59.	4	5	3	4	9	7	16	0.80	0.20	0.418	Yes
60.	5	5	3	3	10	6	16	0.80	0.40	0.469	Yes

- 2. Items with discrimination indices ranging from 0.20 to 0.80.
- 3. Items having significant point biserial correlation either at 1 percent or 5 percent level.

2.2.1 Validity of the test

The validity of the knowledge test of KVK beneficiary farmers for farming practices was obtained through content validity by consulting the scientists. The items selected for the knowledge test were evaluated individually and as a whole by the scientists. These were again checked by experts in Acharya N.G. Ranga Agricultural University for their coverage. It was assumed that the score obtained bv administering the knowledge test of this study, measures what was intended to measure. Thus, the knowledge test developed in the present studv measures the knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers about farming practices as it showed a greater degree of reliability and validitv.

2.2.2 Administration of the test

All the 38 items in the knowledge test read out to the respondents in translated version (Telugu) by the investigator and the respondents were asked to answer the items by themselves. The responses in the form of correct or incorrect answers were recorded there after.

2.2.3 Scoring procedure

A score of '1' and '0' was assigned for correct and wrong answer for each item respectively and the total number of correct responses given by the KVK beneficiary farmers out of the 38 items was the knowledge score obtained by him/her. Thus, the maximum and minimum possible score for a KVK beneficiary farmer was 38 and 0 respectively. The KVK beneficiary farmers were grouped into three categories based on mean and standard deviation as follows [7-12].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Out of 60 items 38 items with difficulty indices ranging from 20 to 80, discrimination indices ranging from 0.20 to 0.80 and items having significant point biserial correlation either at 1 percent or 5 percent level were selected to measure the knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers on farming practices. The items selected for final knowledge test to measure the knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers were given below (Appendix).

4. CONCLUSION

Knowledge test to measure the knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers acts as a key factor in measuring the knowledge levels of farmers in farming practices which were accrued due to different extension activities by KVK. There are limited tests available for measuring knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers in farming practices Hence the attempt to develop knowledge test emerged with standard measuring instrument. The instrument consists of 38 items in the knowledge test which can be administered to the respondents. The correct response will be assigned a score of 1 and a score of 0 will be assigned to incorrect response. The total score of correct answers given by an individual respondent will be the knowledge of that particular respondent. Thereafter, the respondents can be categorized into low. medium and high groups based on the mean and standard deviation.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that they have no known competing financial interests or non-financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

REFERENCES

- Ashok Kumar G, Sailaja V, Satyagopal PV, Prasad SV. Construction and standardization of knowledge test to measure the knowledge level of farmers on SRI technology. Indian Research Journal of Extension Education. 2015;15(4): 161-166.
- Bardhan T, Bhardwaj N. Construction of knowledge test to assess knowledge level of farmers of northern india regarding conservation agricultural practices in wheat. Journal of Community Mobilization and Sustainable Development. 2022; 17(2):400-406
- Beevi Anshida CN, Nirmala G, Rohit J, Nagasree K, Ravi Shankar K, Raju BMK, Dhimate SA, Singh VK. Knowledge test for rainfed farmers on natural resource management practices. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2022.58(4):159–162.

- 4. Bhagya V, Manjeet Singh N, Rashmi S, Kumbhare NV, Sujay BK. Knowledge test for extension personnel on Rashtriya Krishi Vikas Yojana. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2023;59(1):131-134.
- Chandhana B, Kumar GDS, Sengar RS. Development of test and measurement of knowledge level of sunflower farmers. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2021;58(4):81-85.
- Ghouse LM, Karthikeyan C, Devi MN. Developing a test to measure the knowledge level of farmers towards market intelligence. Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology. 2022; 40(10):1131-1136.
- Jyothi V, Vijayabhinandana B, Subbaiah PV. Farmers preparedness for agricultural contingencies in Krishna District of Andhra Pradesh. The Andhra Agricultural Journal. 2020;67 Spl:116-119.
- Reddy PB, Sasidhar PVK, Asidhar, Sastry TP. Construction of knowledge test to measure the knowledge level of rice farmers. Andhra Pradesh Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2017;3(4):236-241

- Revathi N, Jyothi V, Satya Gopal PV. An analysis of knowledge and adoption of critical crop interventions in rice cultivation by tenant farmers in A.P. Indian Research Journal of Extension Education. 2023; 23(1):69-74.
- Srinivas A, Sudha Rani V, Archana P. Construction of knowledge test to measure the knowledge of agriculture officers on IPM, INM and IWM Practices. Global Journal for Research Analysis. 2014; 3(1):1-4.
- Srinivas A, Sudha Rani V, Sreenivasa Rao I. Construction and standardization of knowledge test to measure the level of knowledge of tribal farmers on seed banking. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2019;35(2): 1-8.
- Sunita K, Sharma FL, Rathore S. Construction of knowledge test to measure the knowledge of rashtriya krishi vikas yojana (rkvy) beneficiary farmers about recommended interventions of maize crop. Indian Journal of Extension Education. 2021;57(1):101-107.

Devi et al.; J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 9-17, 2023; Article no.JEAI.98441

APPENDIX

Standardized knowledge test for measuring knowledge of KVK beneficiary farmers on farming practices

1. Red soils are rich inthan in black soils
2. A high content of organic matter in soil drastically reduces its water holding capacity True/ False
3. Seed quality is high in ()
a. Nucleus Seed b. Breeder Seed
c. Foundation Seed d. Certified Seed
4. Seed that is changed every year is known as
5. Seed treatment generally protect the crop for ()
a. 10 days b. 30 days c. 60 days d.90 days
6. Important chemical used for seed treatment is
7. Roughing has to be done at ()
a. Vegetative stage b. Flowering stage
c. Maturity stage d. All the stages of crop growth
8. One should apply fertilisers as per Soil Health Card reports Yes/No
9. Over dose of 'N' fertilizers leads to ()
a. High vegetative growth b. Increased pest and diseases
c. Decreased pest & diseases d. Quality in vield
10 Split dose of 'N' is recommended for (
a Reducing leaching losses b Reducing evaporation losses
c a & b d None of these
11 The urea coated withincreases its efficiency
12 Role of phosphoric fertilizers in crop growth is (
(1) Increase plant size (2) Helps in leaf and fruit production
(3) Prevents soil acidity (4) Increase plant resistance against diseases and insects
a $1\&2$ b $3\&4$ c 123 d $123\&4$
13 High doses of 'P' fertilizers leads to
14 Potassic fertilizers beins in (
1 Root growth 2 Resistance 3 Quality in yield 4 Vegetative growth
a 1.82 b $2.8.3$ c $3.8.4$ d Only 2
15. Pre mature fruit drop and cracks in fruits is the deficiency symptom ofNutrient
16. In general efficient method of fertilizer application is (
a Foliar spray h Basal application
c Ton dressing d None
17 1 kg of Azolla application can reduce usage ofkg of Lirea
18. Time of incorporation of green manure gron is
19. Vermicompost is rich in
20 An example for Nitrogen supplying bio fertilizers
21 An example for 'P' supplying bio fertilizers
22. The most popular fertilizer for foliar application is ()
a Potassium b Phosphate c Urea d Ammonium
23 The herbicide which is used to control broad leaved weeds is
24. Non selective weedicide which is popularly used is
25. The weed that causes respiratory problems reproduce vigorously and causes skin allergy is

Devi et al.; J. Exp. Agric. Int., vol. 45, no. 7, pp. 9-17, 2023; Article no.JEAI.98441

26. Irrigation over a period of time can contribute to the salinization of some agricultural lands True/False 27. There is no direct chemical to control viral diseases Yes/No 28. Soil borne disease can be controlled by) a. Application of Trichoderma Viridae b. Deep ploughing c.a&b d. a, b & Soil drenching with suitable chemical 29. An example for granular insecticide is -----30.An example for systemic insecticide is ------31. The insecticide with systematic and fumigant action is ------32. An example for contact insecticide is ------33. Excessive use of pyrethroids leads in resurgence Yes/No 34. Higher the droplet size , more will be the spraying efficiency True/ False 35. The pest that acts as carrier for viral diseases in plants------36. Root nodules are symptom of damage caused by () a. Nematodes b. Funai c. Bacteria d. Virus 37. The Red label on the insecticides bottle indicates extremely toxic level of toxicity True/ False True/False 38. The Green label on the insecticides bottle indicates slightly toxic level of toxicity

© 2023 Devi et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/98441