Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International



32(9): 35-39, 2020; Article no.JPRI.55678 ISSN: 2456-9119 (Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759)

Prevalence of Bacterial Pathogens Isolated in 2018 from Patients in a Military Hospital

Nehad J. Ahmed^{1*}

¹Department of Clinical Pharmacy, College of Pharmacy, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Al-Kharj, Saudi Arabia.

Author's contribution

The sole author designed, analysed, interpreted and prepared the manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2020/v32i930480 <u>Editor(s):</u> (1) Dr. R. Deveswaran, M. S. Ramaiah University of Applied Sciences, India. <u>Reviewers:</u> (1) Márió Gajdács, University of Szeged, Hungary. (2) Fils Landry Mpelle, Marien Ngouabi University, Congo. Complete Peer review History: <u>http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/55678</u>

Original Research Article

Received 20 January 2020 Accepted 27 March 2020 Published 09 June 2020

ABSTRACT

Aim: The aim of the present study was to describe the prevalence of bacterial pathogens isolated from patients in a military hospital in Alkharj.

Methodology: This was a retrospective study conducted to describe the prevalence of bacterial pathogens in 2018. Clinical culture results were collected from laboratory in a military hospital from 01-01-2018 to31-12-2018.

Results: The most common bacteria were *Escherichia coli* bacteria followed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Gram negative bacteria were collected mainly by urine culture while gram positive bacteria were collected mainly from wound/pus/skin cultures.

Conclusion: In general, from this study it can be concluded that the rate of bacterial infections is high. It is important to follow the healthcare professional recommendations such as hand washing and other measures to prevent the occurrence of infections. Moreover, health care professional should know the causative bacteria to give the appropriate treatment.

Keywords: Prevalence; bacterial isolates; gram positive; gram negative; bacterial culture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Infectious diseases are caused by many microorganisms such as viruses, bacteria, fungi

or parasites; these infections can be spread from one person to another either directly or indirectly such as when an individual with the bacterium or virus kisses, touches, or coughs or sneezes on

*Corresponding author: E-mail: n.ahmed@psau.edu.sa, pharmdnehadjaser@yahoo.com;

someone who isn't infected [1]. Infectious diseases can cause several different symptoms. Some can be life-threatening while others are mild and the patient may not even notice symptoms [2].

Bacteria are one of the organisms that cause infections. They are prokaryotic organisms whose cells lack a nucleus. Usually bacterial cells range from 0.5 to 5.0 μ m in length and 0.2 to 2 μ m in diameter [3]. Bacterial genetics are subtly different from eukaryotic genetics.

For several bacterial infections, the host immune system successfully eliminates the attacking bacteria and as a result the infection resolves. However, in some infections, bacteria evade the host immune system and persist within the host. Several infections are clinically asympto-matic, but some infections are associated with clinically apparent symptoms [4].

Hospitalized patients are at higher risk of infections than others especially, for immune compromised patients [5]. Nosocomial infections cause significant morbidity and mortality, increase the period of hospitalization and increase direct patient care costs [6]. These infections are common complications affecting hospitalized patients, mainly in the intensive care units where outbreaks repeatedly originate [7,8]. Pneumonia, urinary tract infection and primary bloodstream infection account for the majority of nosocomial infections [9].

Almost every pathogen could result in infection for the hospitalized patients, but only limited number of these organisms is mainly responsible for most of the nosocomial infection. Among them gram positive Staphylococcus aureus, gram positive Enterococci, gram negative Escherichia coli and Gram-negative Pseudomonas aeruginosa takes the leading [10]. Mario et al reported that Pseudomonas spp and Escherichia coli were the most prevalent gram negative bacteria [11,12]. Moreover, Mario reported that Staphylococcus aureus was the most prevalence gram positive bacteria, many of these bacteria are nor resistance to antibiotics [13,14].

Bacterial cultures and isolations can make definitive diagnosis [15], they help the prescribers in better diagnosis of the infections and in choosing the appropriate antibiotics to treat these infections. The objective of the present study was to describe the prevalence of bacterial pathogens isolated from patients in a military hospital in Alkharj.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a retrospective study conducted to describe the prevalence of bacterial pathogens in 2018. Clinical culture results were collected from laboratory in a military hospital in Alkharj – Saudi Arabiafor all blood, urine, pus, throat and other culture reports obtained from 01-01-2018 to 31-12-2018. This military hospital provides medical services to military personnel and their families in Al Kharj which is a city in Al Kharj is 77 km south of Riyadh.

The consultant microbiologists in the hospital helped me in collecting the bacterial culture results during 2018 after the IRB committee in the hospital approved the study.

Inclusion criteria include all bacterial specimens in 2018. Exclusion criteria include the bacterial specimen before or after 2018 and fungi culture reports. All isolates (even replicates) were included.

Different tests were done such as gram stain test using crystal violet dye and safran in dye to differentiate between gram positive and gram negative bacteria. After that other tests may be performed to further differentiate bacteria such as using MacConkey agar plates and coagulase test.

Results are presented in tablesas absolute numbers (n) and the percentage values (%). The data include the number and percentage of the causative organisms, the number of gram positive and gram-negative bacteria and the type of specimens.

This study is approved by the IRB ethical committee in the military hospital with letter number 4101728.

3. RESULTS

The most common bacteria were *Escherichia coli* bacteria (32.83%) followed by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (14.13%). The number and percentage of causative organisms in 2018 are shown in Table 1.

Organism	Number in 2018	Percentage 32.84		
Escherichia coli	244			
Enterobacter aerogenes	5	0.67		
Enterobacter cloacae	20	2.69		
HaemophillusSpp	4	0.53		
Klebsiella pneumonia	78	10.50		
Citrobacterfreundii	1	0.13		
CitrobacterKoseri	3	0.40		
Serratia marcescens	10	1.35		
Proteus mirabillis	17	2.29		
Providenciastuartii	4	0.53		
Morganellamorganii	3	0.40		
Citrobacteryoungea	1	0.13		
Pseudomonas fluorescens	1	0.13		
Salmonella	11	1.48		
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	105	14.13		
Acinetobacterbaumannii	51	6.86		
Brucella	5	0.67		
Staphylococcus aureus	93	12.52		
Coagulase-negative staphylococci	33	4.44		
Group A streptococcus	7	0.94		
Group B Streptococcus	30	4.04		
Enterococcus faecalis	17	2.29		
Total	743	100		

Table 1. Frequency of isolation of strains according to bacterial species

Table 2. Distribution of bacterial strains according to Gram stain

Bacteria classification	Number in 2018	Percentage	
Gram-negative	563	75.77	
Gram-positive	180	24.22	
Total	743	100	

	Urine	Wound/Pus /Skin	Upper respiratory	lower respiratory	blood	Catheter	Stool	Total
Gram-negative	296	136	30	59	31	1	10	563
Gram-positive	12	104	3	13	45	3	0	180
Total	308	240	33	72	76	4	10	743

The majority of infections were caused by gram negative bacteria (75.77%). Table 2 shows the number and percentage of causative gram negative and gram-positive bacteria.

Gram negative bacteria were collected mainly by urine culture (52.57%).Gram positive bacteria were collected mainly from wound/pus/skin cultures (57.77%). The number of different types of specimens collected in 2018 is shown in Table 3.

The most commonly prescribed antibiotics in the hospital were Amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, amoxicillin, cefuroxime, metronidazole and ciprofloxacin.

4. DISCUSSION

The majority of infections were caused by gram negative bacteria (75.77%) that were mainly collected by urine culture (52.57%) followed by Wound/Pus/Skin (24.15%). The most common gram positive and gram-negativebacteria were *Escherichia coli followed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus and Klebsiella pneumonia*, these 4 bacteria formed about 79% of the bacterial isolates.

Out of 240 Wound/Pus/Skin specimens, 56.66% were for gram negative bacteria. Similarly, Aynalem Mohammed et al reported that for the

bacterial isolates of wound infections, 57% of the isolates were gram-negative and 43% were gram-positive [16].

Gram positive bacteria form only 24.22% of the total isolates; these bacteria were mainly collected by Wound/Pus/Skin specimens (57.77%). The most common gram-positive bacteria were *Staphylococcus* aureus (12.51% of the total isolates) followed by *Coagulase-negative staphylococci* (4.44% of the total isolates).

Atif H. Asghar studied the frequency of grampositive bacteria in Makkah hospitals and similar to the result of the present study he stated that *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most common cause of wound infection and accounted for more than half of the clinical isolates [17]. Márió Gajdács and Edit Urbán reported that among bacteria cultures isolated from vaginal samples, 91.4% of samples include S. aureus [18].

About 96% of the isolates collected by urine were for gram negative bacteria specially *Escherichia coli* and this is rational because *Escherichia coli* bacteria were the most common pathogen that cause urinary tract infections as reported by Martin Odoki et al who studies the prevalence of bacterial urinary tract infections in in Bushenyi District in Uganda and reported that *Escherichia coli* was the most prevalent bacterial uropathogen (41.9%) [19].

In contrast to our study, Ovidiu Zlatian studied the hospitalized patients with severe invasive infections and reported that Staphylococcus aureus is the most common isolates (37.06%) and that *Escherichia coli* were detected by only 7.06% of the isolates [20].

The majority of the isolates of gram negative bacteria were collected from urine, wound and lower respiratory tract. Gram positive bacteria were collected from Wound and blood. This result is rational, because *Escherichia coli* (a gram negative bacteria) is the most common bacteria that cause urinary tract infections. Moreover, *Staphylococcus aureus* (a gram positive bacteria) is the most common cause of infections in wounds and on the skin.

The most commonly prescribed antibiotics in the hospital were amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, amoxicillin, cefuroxime, metronidazole and ciprofloxacin. These antibiotics are effective in treating a broad spectrum of bacteria but it is important to know the resistance rate for these antibiotics.

5. CONCLUSION

In general, from this study it can be concluded that the rate of bacterial infections is high especially the infections caused by Escherichia coli, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Staphylococcus aureus and Klebsiella pneumonia. It is important follow the healthcare professional to recommendations such as hand washing and other measures to prevent the occurrence of infections. Health care professional should know the causative bacteria to give the appropriate treatment. Many interventions such as lectures and brochures should be conducted to increase the awareness regarding infections and antibiotic for the public and for the health care professionals.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard written patient consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This Publication was supported by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Infectious diseases. WHO; 2020. [cited 11 March 2020]. Available:https://www.who.int/topics/infections diseases/en/
- Infectious Disease | Germs | Medline Plus [Internet]. Medlineplus.gov. 2020 [Cited 11 March 2020]. Available:https://medlineplus.gov/infectious diseases.html
- Nazir R, Rehman S, Baba U. Exploring bacterial diversity. Freshwater Microbiology. 2019:263-306.
- 4. Grant SS, Hung DT. Persistent bacterial infections, antibiotic tolerance, and the

oxidative stress response. Virulence. 2013; 4(4):273-83.

- Emori TG, Gaynes RP. An overview of nosocomial infections, including the role of the microbiology laboratory. Clinical Microbiology Reviews. 1993;6(4):428-42.
- Amin AN, Rehm SJ. Infections in hospitalized patients: what is happening and who can help? Cleveland Clinic Journal of Medicine. 2007;74 (Suppl 4):S2-5.
- Erlandsson CM, Hanberger H, Eliasson I, Hoffmann M, Isaksson B, Lindgren S, et al. Surveillance of antibiotic resistance in ICUs in southeastern Sweden. ICU Study Group of the South East of Sweden. Acta Anaesthesiologica Scandinavica. 1999; 43(8):815-20.
- Asensio A, Oliver A, Gonzalez-Diego P, Baquero F, Perez-Diaz JC, Ros P, et al. Outbreak of a multiresistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strain in an intensive care unit: Antibiotic use as risk factor for colonization and infection. Clinical infectious diseases: An official publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America. 2000;30(1):55-60.
- Richards MJ, Edwards JR, Culver DH, Gaynes RP. Nosocomial infections in combined medical-surgical intensive care units in the United States. Infection control and hospital epidemiology. 2000;21(8): 510-5.
- Bereket W, Hemalatha K, Getenet B, Wondwossen T, Solomon A, Zeynudin A, et al. Update on bacterial nosocomial infections. European Review for Medical and Pharmacological Sciences. 2012; 16(8):1039-44.
- Gajdács M, Burián K, Terhes G. Resistance levels and epidemiology of non-fermenting gram-negative bacteria in urinary tract infections of inpatients and outpatients (RENFUTI): A 10-Year Epidemiological Snapshot. Antibiotics. 2019;8:143.
- 12. Gajdács M, Ábrók M, Lázár A, Burián K. Comparative epidemiology and resistance

trends of common urinary pathogens in a tertiary-care hospital: A 10-Year Surveillance Study. Medicina. 2019;55: 356.

- 13. Gajdács, M. The continuing threat of methicillin- resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. Antibiotics. 2019;8:52.
- 14. Gajdács M. The concept of an ideal antibiotic: Implications for drug design. Molecules. 2019;24:892.
- Mansfield K, Fox J. Bacterial Diseases. The Common Marmoset in Captivity and Biomedical Research. Academic Press; 2019.
- Mohammed A, Seid ME, Gebrecherkos T, Tiruneh M, Moges F. Bacterial isolates and their antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of wound infections among inpatients and outpatients attending the University of Gondar Referral Hospital, Northwest Ethiopia. International Journal of Microbiology. 2017;2017:8953829.
- Asghar AH. Frequency and antibiotic susceptibility of gram-positive bacteria in Makkah hospitals. Annals of Saudi Medicine. 2011;31(5):462-8. Epub 2011/09/14. DOI: 10.4103/0256-4947.84622
- MárióGajdács, Edit Urbán. Epidemiology and resistance trends of Staphylococcus aureus isolated from vaginal samples: A 10-year retrospective study in Hungary. Acta Dermatovenerologica Alpina, Pannonica et Adriatica. 2019;28:143-147.
- Odoki M, Aliero A, Tibyangye J, Maniga J, Wampande E, Kato C, et al. Prevalence of Bacterial Urinary Tract Infections and Associated Factors among Patients Attending Hospitals in Bushenyi District, Uganda. International Journal of Microbiology. 2019;2019:1-8.
- Zlatian O, BalasoiuAT, Balasoiu M, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in bacterial pathogens among hospitalised patients with severe invasive infections. Exp Ther Med. 2018;16(6):4499–4510.

© 2020 Ahmed; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/55678