

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

12(9): 253-263, 2022; Article no.IJECC.85898 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Optimization of Operational Parameters for Axial Flow Paddy Thresher Using RSM

Dilwar Singh Parihar ^{a*}, Atul Kumar Shrivastava ^{a#}, Avesh Kumar Singh ^b, Neeraj Kumar Singh ^b, Akshay Sanjay Mahadik ^b and Vishnu Ji Awasthi ^c

^a Department of Farm Machinery and Power Engineering, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidhyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India.
^b Department of Farm Machinery and Power Engineering, Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana, India.
^c Department of Farm Machinery and Power Engineering, GBPUAT, Pantnagar, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i930762

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85898

Original Research Article

Received 03 February 2022 Accepted 07 April 2022 Published 23 April 2022

ABSTRACT

Post-harvest practices remains significant qualitative and quantitative throughout the paddy value chain despite rising agricultural productivity. When it comes to rice, most losses occur during the harvesting and threshing operations. In optimizing operating variables, the use of response surface methodology (RSM) and complete randomized design (CRD) is effective. This research discusses the application of these approaches to determine the impact of various operational factors on the performance of an axial flow paddy threshers. Three major operating variables, feeding rate, cylinder speed, moisture content, were changed during the paddy threshing. The machine was evaluated using feed rates in the range of 1700 to 1900 kg/h, threshing cylinder speed from 400 to 650 rpm, and crop moisture content 12 to 16% for the crop. Algebraic models were created in Design-Expert software using computer simulation by the least-squares method to optimize the variables. Models for fuel consumption and torque requirement for threshing were developed and represented the three operational parameters. The study found that all three parameters significantly affected fuel consumption and torque requirement at the linear and quadratic levels. Furthermore, the optimum the torque requirement and fuel consumption was 1152.78 Nm and 2.51

[#] Dean cum Head

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: Dilwarsinghparihar@gmail.com, dilwar-fmpe@pau.edu;

I/h, respectively at 12% moisture content of paddy, Which was recorded at feed rate (1703.92 kg/h), cylinder speed (400 rpm). However, no significant change was observed at the level of the interactions.

Keywords: Axial flow thresher; fuel consumption; response surface methodology; torque requirement.

1. INTRODUCTION

India has 43.7 Million hectare (Mha) area under paddy cultivation with the 118.4 Metric Tonne (MT) production and the productivity was recorded about 2.7 tonne/hectare [1]. A total of 4.8 MT paddy is produced in an area of 1.98 Mha in Madhya Pradesh. The paddy production in the state increased by 4.43% annually from 4.23 MT to 4.8 MT [2]. Combine harvesters are well adapted for harvesting paddy by farmers in Madhya Pradesh. However, in many parts of the states, the threshing is done manually or with reaper. Manual harvesting of paddy is tedious. time-consuming and costly operation, it needs about 100-150 man-hour labour to harvest one hectare of paddy farm [3]. The manual method is labour intensive and often leads to low-quality paddy and a substantial grain losses. It is estimated that 30% of paddy is lost through physical losses along the cultivation chain [4]. As paddy production is increased, the manual threshing becomes unproductive and burdensome. Manual threshing is still customary used in India due to its low cost; however, theoretical and practical losses could be as considerable as 20-30%. This is particularly true for panicles that are either too dry or too wet [5]. Bora and Hansen conducted a field experiment to compare the losses in reaper and manual method of paddy harvesting. They found that grain loss was 2.3% and 1% for reaper and manual harvesting, respectively [6].

Rice quality can be improved and the threshing process made easier by using an appropriate threshing method. Due to low capacity and higher grain losses in conventional threshers, the axial flow threshing mechanism is proposed [7]. Compared to conventional spike tooth threshers, axial flow threshers may save 50% labour and 54% operating costs [8]. Axial flow threshing technologies have been applied in grain threshing systems widely. It was observed that as compared to conventional threshers, axial flow threshers are used to increase threshing quality significantly reduced grain loss and damage up to 2-5% [9,10].

The axial flow approach was a significant shift from the threshing mechanisms applied in threshers and combine harvesters across the world. In an axial flow machine, the crop circulates spirally between the threshing drum and concave for several rounds. As a result of the repetitive impact of threshing pegs, the crop is threshed for a more extended [11]. This approach enables multi-stage crushing and grain straw extraction, increasing threshing and cleaning efficiency [12]. The crop retention period within the threshing unit was increased from 3.0 to 7.5 seconds [13]. However, the challenge in using the threshing machines is knowing the correct threshing cylinder speed to thresh the paddy to minimize the economy of operation. Several researchers have been conducted studies regarding fuel consumption and torque requirement shown in Table 1.

| Table 1. Torque requirement and fuel consumption of threshers at their cylinder siz | e and |
|---|-------|
| respected cylinder speeds | |

| Researcher | Cylinder size (Diameter × Length) m | Cylinder speed (rpm) | Torque requirement (Nm) | Fuel consumption (I/h) |
|------------|---|-------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| [14] | 0.067×0.117 | 500-630 | 15000-20000 | 3.16 |
| [15] | 0.4×1.12 | - | 880-1030 | - |
| [16] | 0.3×1.1 | 600-1200 | 16422 | 1-1.7 |
| [17] | 0.5×1.0 | 550-650 | 4000 | 0.37-0.47 |
| [18] | 0.46×1.24 | 250 -350 | 148-336 | - |
| [19] | - | 398-565 | 1520 | - |
| [20] | 0.37×1.36 | 1100-1500 | 5430-10630 | - |
| [21] | 0.8×1.77 | 143 - 417 | - | 2.75 |

Currently, very few studies have addressed the economv operation regarding fuel of consumption torque requirement for and threshing of paddy with axial flow paddy thresher. This raises the question of identifying the best parameters in crop feed rate, threshing cylinder speed, optimum crop moisture content, and suitable variety recommended to paddy farmers. Thus, this study aimed to evaluate the effect of feed rate, cylinder speed, and crop moisture content for an axial flow thresher.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Location and Description of the Machine

The study was carried out at the departmental farm of the Department of Farm Machinery and Power Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, during the period of 2018-2019. To achieve the study's objectives, PTO operated Onkar Paddy thresher (Hadamba) was used. The prevalent variety of paddy in Madhya Pradesh Kranti was used for the study.

The selected thresher is consists of an axial-flow peg and rasp bar type threshing cylinder, a manual throw-in feeding chute, and a straw blowing mechanism at the end of the cylinder (Fig. 1). Generally, in an axial flow thresher, 80% of the grains are separated in the first half of the threshing cylinder, while only 20% of the grains are separated in the second half of the rotor [22,23]. A semi-hexagonal threshing drum is covered with spiral louvers that promote axial crop movement inside the threshing unit in the thrasher. Table 2 shows the technical characteristics of the thresher adopted in this study.

The variety selected for the experiment was Kranti, which is quite popular among the farmers of Jabalpur and Madhya Pradesh. The crop was manually harvested, 10-15 cm above the ground, and collected for the experiment. The harvested crop was laid in the open field to dry up to the desired moisture content level. At the time of harvesting, the crop's moisture content was 18-20%. Table 2 shows the physical properties of a chosen paddy variety.

2.2 Methodology

Bundles of the harvested crop were manually fed into the threshing chamber at a consistent pace for each experimental run, and the time needed for threshing was recorded. Testing of axial flow paddy thresher was carried out on known vield and quality of the threshed seed on a mass basis. The machine was evaluated using different feed rates (from 1700 to 1900 kg/h). threshing cylinder speeds (400 to 650 rpm), and crop moisture content (12 to 16%). For measuring cylinder speed (rpm) and PTO torque (Nm), a no contact-type digital laser tachometer and a digital in-line in-line torque sensor (Fig. 2) were used, respectively. The experiment was replicated three times. Torque requirement (Nm) and fuel consumption (I/h) were determined for

| S. No. | Particulars | Dimensions/ Details |
|--------|------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1 | Type of thresher | Axial flow |
| 2 | Crop | Paddy |
| 3 | Method of feeding | Manual |
| 4 | Type of feeding system | Feeding chute |
| 5 | Size of feeding chute opening, mm | 382×262 |
| 6 | Type of threshing drum | Peg and Rasp bar type |
| 7 | Drum diameter (mm) | 815 |
| 8 | Drum length (mm) | 1805 |
| 9 | Threshing cylinder speed, rpm | 550-600 |
| 10 | Distance between two peg tooth, mm | 212 |
| 11 | Size of pegs, mm | 80-90 |
| 12 | Optimum feed rate | 1800 kg/h |
| 13 | Concave type | Semi-circular, Open |
| 14 | Concave clearance (mm) | 11 |
| 15 | Type of bar used | Square bars |
| 16 | Net weight (kg) | 1460 |
| 17 | Power (hp) | 50-60 |

 Table 2. Brief specification of the axial flow paddy thresher



Fig. 1. A view of the crop flow in axial flow paddy thresher

| S. No. | Characteristics | Description |
|--------|----------------------------|-------------|
| 1 | Maturity period, days | 118-122 |
| 2 | Plant height (cm) | 95-100 |
| 3 | 1000 grains mass (g) | 31-35 |
| 4 | Length of panicle (mm) | 18-21 |
| 5 | Length of grain (mm) | 7-11 |
| 6 | Straw grain ratio | 1:1-1:1.3 |
| 7 | Paddy grain yield (ton/ha) | 58-62 |

each treatment. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using Stat-Ease Design-Expert (V 13.0) software, and separation of treatment means was done using the LSD at a 5% level of significance.

2.3 Experimental Design and Analysis

Three independent variables, viz., feed rate (A), cylinder speed (B), and moisture content (C) were considered for optimization of paddy crop threshing. The experimental plan for optimization

consisted of two dependent variables, viz., torque requirement (TR) and fuel consumption (FC). Response surface methodology (RSM) was used to fit a second-order polynomial equation using a completely randomized design (CRD) [24]. Feed rate values vary from 1700 to 1900 Kg/h, Cylinder speed from 400 to 650 rpm, and Moisture content from 12 to 16% (Table 4). The limiting values of the independent parameter were based on the test code for power thresher (IS: 6284-1985) [25].

| Table 4. Study variables and their level | ls for | analy | sis |
|--|--------|-------|-----|
|--|--------|-------|-----|

| Name | Units | Minimum | Maximum | Coded Low | Coded High | Mean | Std. Dev. |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Feed rate Cylinder speed | Kg/h RPM | 1631.82 314.78 | 1968.18 735.22 | -1 ↔ 1700 -1 ↔ 400 | +1 ↔ 1900 +1 ↔ 650 | 1800 525 | 84.78 105.98 |
| Moisture content | % | 10.64 | 17.36 | -1 ↔ 12 | +1 ↔ 16 | 14 | 1.70 |



Fig. 2. A view of in-line torque sensor

Five potential levels of coded values, namely $-\beta$, $-\alpha$, 0, $+\alpha$, and $+\beta$ were chosen using the limiting values of independent variables [24]. These were then used to calculate the actual variable levels for each of the 57 trials (Table 6). Table 4 shows the calculated values of the coded variable levels for CRD.

The intended objectives (maximize or minimize) for each variable and response were selected, and different weights (a value between 0.1 and 1.0 indicating the relevance of the preferred outcome) were applied to each target to adapt the form of its specific desirability function. The values given in Table 5 were grouped, and the optimized values of variables such as Feed rate (1700.00 kg/h), cylinder speed (400 rpm), moisture content (12%), TR (1155.7 Nm), and FC (2.65 l/h) were determined. The numerical optimization approach yielded results closer to those generated by the graphical optimization method.

The Stat-Ease Design-Expert (V 13.0) software package was used to optimize various solutions

simultaneously. The response functions representing TR and FC may be written as a function of the three operational parameters of an axial flow paddy thresher, namely, feed rate, cylinder speed, and crop moisture content, based on the experimental data shown in Table 5. For the coded unit, the equations (1) and (2) between responses and operational parameters were found as follows:

```
TR = 1244.18 + 30.08A + 36.12B + 31.50C - 1.56AB -2.76AC - 0.21BC + 7.65338 A<sup>2</sup> + 5.05B<sup>2</sup> - 1.85C<sup>2</sup> ....(1)
```

$$FC = 3.19103 + 0.45A + 0.42B + 0.422C - 0.14 AB - 0.03AC + 0.12BC + 0.24A^{2} + 0.23B^{2} + 0.19C^{2} \qquad \dots (2)$$

Where,

TR = Torque requirement (Nm) FC = Fuel consumption (l/h) A = feed rate (kg/h) B = cylinder speed (rpm) C = crop moisture content (%)

| Table 5. Relationship between coded and actual values of | of a variable [26] |
|--|--------------------|
|--|--------------------|

| Code | Actual Value of Variable (V) |
|-----------------------------|---|
| - β | V _{min} |
| -α | $\left[\left(V_{max}+V_{min}\right)^{2}\right]-\left[\left(V_{max}-V_{min}\right)/2\rho\right]$ |
| 0 | $(V_{max} + V_{min})/2$ |
| +α | $[(V_{max}+V_{min})^2]+[(V_{max}-V_{min})/2\rho]$ |
| +β | V _{max} |
| Note: Vmax and Vmin maximum | and minimum values of v respectively, $p=2^{k/4}$, k - number of veriables (in this |

Note: Vmax and Vmin = maximum and minimum values of x respectively; $\rho = 2^{k/4}$; k = number of variables (in this study; $\rho = 2^{34} = 1.68$)

| Run | Coded variables | | Actual variables | | | Responses | | |
|-----|-----------------|----|------------------|------|-----|-----------|---------|----------|
| | V1 | V2 | V3 | Α | В | С | TR (Nm) | FC (l/h) |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | β | 1800 | 525 | 17 | 1270.5 | 4.75 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | -β | 1800 | 525 | 11 | 1195.6 | 3.08 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1800 | 525 | 14 | 1248.3 | 3.19 |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1800 | 525 | 14 | 1241.5 | 3.18 |
| 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1800 | 525 | 14 | 1243.2 | 3.11 |
| 6 | -α | -α | -α | 1700 | 400 | 12 | 1155.7 | 2.65 |
| 7 | 0 | β | 0 | 1800 | 735 | 14 | 1300 | 5.04 |
| 8 | β | 0 | 0 | 1968 | 525 | 14 | 1334.2 | 5.22 |
| 9 | Ö | 0 | 0 | 1800 | 525 | 14 | 1241.5 | 3.18 |
| 10 | -α | α | α | 1700 | 650 | 16 | 1323.9 | 4.33 |
| 11 | -β | 0 | 0 | 1632 | 525 | 14 | 1185.7 | 2.89 |
| 12 | 0 | -β | 0 | 1800 | 315 | 14 | 1205.2 | 2.98 |
| 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1800 | 525 | 14 | 1248.3 | 3.19 |
| 14 | -α | -α | α | 1700 | 400 | 16 | 1235.8 | 3.41 |
| 15 | α | α | α | 1900 | 650 | 16 | 1353.6 | 4.75 |
| 16 | α | α | -α | 1900 | 650 | 12 | 1285.4 | 3.64 |
| 17 | α | -α | α | 1900 | 400 | 16 | 1275.6 | 4 |
| 18 | α | -α | -α | 1900 | 400 | 12 | 1202.7 | 3.76 |
| 19 | -α | α | -α | 1700 | 650 | 12 | 1240.8 | 3.48 |
| 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1800 | 525 | 14 | 1244.3 | 3.24 |

Table 6. Central randomized design with trials for the analysis of three experimental variables in coded and actual levels, with observed findings



Fig. 3. Evaluation of axial flow paddy thresher

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Torque Requirement

According to the F-values in Table 7, the linear term of cylinder speed has a more significant effect on torque requirement than other independent variables. All three factors impact the torque required at the linear levels, but there is no substantial effect at the interactions level. The numerical representation of the torque requirement in variation with variables A, B, and C was composed of a polynomial equation with a coefficient of determination R^2 of 0.7361. The regression equation was obtained for the response torque requirement with three independent variables presented in equation 1.

| Source | Sum of | df | Mean | F-value | p-value | |
|--------------------|----------|----|----------|---------|----------|-------------|
| | Squares | | Square | | | |
| Model | 45062.50 | 9 | 5006.94 | 13.37 | 0.0002 | Significant |
| A-Feed Rate | 12359.70 | 1 | 12359.70 | 33.00 | 0.0002 | |
| B-Cylinder Speed | 17820.97 | 1 | 17820.97 | 47.58 | < 0.0001 | |
| C-Moisture Content | 13555.76 | 1 | 13555.76 | 36.19 | 0.0001 | |
| AB | 19.53 | 1 | 19.53 | 0.0521 | 0.8240 | |
| AC | 61.05 | 1 | 61.05 | 0.1630 | 0.6949 | |
| BC | 0.3613 | 1 | 0.3613 | 0.0010 | 0.9758 | |
| A ² | 844.13 | 1 | 844.13 | 2.25 | 0.1642 | |
| B ² | 368.22 | 1 | 368.22 | 0.9831 | 0.3448 | |
| C ² | 49.71 | 1 | 49.71 | 0.1327 | 0.7232 | |

Table 7. ANOVA for the torque requirement using the response surface model

Response surface plots and contours of torque requirement and fuel consumption as a function of feed rate, cylinder speed and moisture content are shown in Fig. 4 a, b, c, d, e and f. Torque requirement of the thresher is affected by feed rate, It can be observed from Fig. 4a that, as we increase the feed rate from 1700 to 1900 kg/h the torque is also increased, this may be due to a higher feed rate, more material to be handled by the cylinder at a particular time. Similarly, Tewari et al. 2013 also came to similar conclusions [8]. The lowest torque (value) was observed at 400 rpm cylinder speed. As we increase the threshing cylinder speed, the torque requirement increases gradually (Fig. 4b). This may be because the cylinder speed is directly proportional to the torque requirement of the thresher. Comparable results of increasing cylinder speed on torque requirement were observed by Ahuja et al. [18]. Torque requirement was highly influenced by the moisture content of the paddy crop. The f value of torque requirement and analysis of variance are presented in Table 6. It can be observed that 12% moisture content (lowest) and 1700 kg/h feed rate provide minimum torque requirement (Fig. 4c); however, an increase in moisture content also increases the torque requirement. The results of [27] are similar.

3.2 Fuel Consumption

According to the F-values in Table 7, the linear term of cylinder speed has a more significant

effect on torque demand than other independent components. All three factors influenced fuel consumption at the linear and quadratic levels, but no significant effect was found at the interactions level. The numerical representation of fuel consumption fluctuation with variables A, B, and C was successfully fitted in a polynomial equation (Equation 2) with a determination coefficient of determination $R^2 = 0.7076$.

Fuel consumption was highly influenced by the crop's moisture content and the cylinder speed of the thresher. The crop's feeding rate also significantly influences the fuel consumption of the thresher. It can be observed from Fig. 4d that the fuel consumption increase as we increase the feed rate from 1700 to 1900 kg/h; this may be due to a higher feed rate, more power being required for handling crop inside the cylinder. A similar finding was also reported by Khan [7]. Proportional relation was found between threshing cylinder speed and fuel consumption; as we increase cylinder speed from 400 to 610 rpm, the fuel consumption increases from 2.65 to 5.22 l/h (Fig. 4e). This is also because of the relationship between power consumption and speed [28]. The feeding material's moisture content also influences the fuel requirement for threshing (Fig. 4f). The higher crop moisture content of the crop requires a higher amount of fuel to process because moisture in the crop makes it bulky to process inside the threshing cylinder [29].

Table 8. ANOVA for the fuel consumption using the response surface model

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-value | p-value | |
|--------------------|-------------------|----|----------------|---------|---------|-------------|
| Model | 9.89 | 9 | 1.10 | 8.55 | 0.0012 | Significant |
| A-Feed Rate | 2.81 | 1 | 2.81 | 21.88 | 0.0009 | - |
| B-Cylinder Speed | 2.50 | 1 | 2.50 | 19.45 | 0.0013 | |
| C-Moisture Content | 2.44 | 1 | 2.44 | 18.95 | 0.0014 | |

Parihar et al.; IJECC, 12(9): 253-263, 2022; Article no.IJECC.85898

| Source | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-value | p-value |
|----------------|-------------------|----|----------------|---------|---------|
| AB | 0.1568 | 1 | 0.1568 | 1.22 | 0.2954 |
| AC | 0.0084 | 1 | 0.0084 | 0.0657 | 0.8029 |
| BC | 0.1152 | 1 | 0.1152 | 0.8958 | 0.3662 |
| A ² | 0.8832 | 1 | 0.8832 | 6.87 | 0.0256 |
| B ² | 0.7733 | 1 | 0.7733 | 6.01 | 0.0341 |
| C ² | 0.5653 | 1 | 0.5653 | 4.40 | 0.0624 |



Fig. 4. Effect of different levels of feed rates, cylinder speed and moisture content on torque requirement (a, b, c) and fuel consumption (d, e, f)

3.3 Optimization of Design Parameters

Numerical values and graphical optimization algorithms were used to forecast the optimal level of independent variables for the axial flow paddy thresher's torque requirement and fuel consumption. For a visual comprehension of independent variable interactions, an overlay plot of the regression model has been highly recommended [8]. The range of optimal conditions may be shown by superimposing the contours for the different response surfaces in an overlay plot, establishing a zone in which all responses have optimum values. The RSM package's response optimizer determined the overall optimum region. Fig. 5, yellow shaded area, depicts the optimum findings and the overlaying contour plot for the dependent variables as feed rate, cylinder speed, and crop moisture content.

The flagged point in the figure shows the optimum point at which the minimum torque requirement (1152.78 Nm) and fuel consumption (2.51 l/h) can be achieved at three different independent parameters. It may be observed in this figure that the corresponding predicted response values under the optimum conditions for axial flow paddy thresher were feed rate (1703.92kg/h), cylinder speed (400 rpm), moisture content (12 %), TR (1152.78 Nm) and FC (2.51 l/h).



Fig. 5 .Superimposed contours for torque requirement and fuel consumption at varying feed rate, cylinder rpm, and crop moisture content

4. CONCLUSION

In this study, a tractor-operated axial flow paddy thresher was tested at different feed rates (from 1700 to 1900 kg/h), threshing cylinder speeds (400 to 650 rpm), and crop moisture content (12 to 16 %) corresponding to these parameters, its performance was evaluated. The use of Response Surface Methodology in combination with CRD to simulate and optimize the performance of axial flow paddy threshers worked effectively. The models provide accurate performance forecasting by interpolating over the database's given range. All three parameters significantly affect the torque requirement and fuel consumption. An increase in feed rate and cylinder speed increases the torque required to rotate the threshing cylinder; hence, fuel consumption also increases. Furthermore, the minimum fuel consumption (2.51 l/h) and torque requirement (1152.78 Nm) can be achieved at 400 cylinder rpm and 1703 kg/h feed rate at 12 % moisture content.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anonymous; 2021. Available:http://ricepedia.org
- 2. Anonymous; 2020. Available:https://knoema.com/atlas/India/M adhyaPradesh/topics/ Agriculture/AgriculturalProduction/ Riceproduction
- 3. Alizadeh MR, Allameh A. Evaluating rice losses in various harvesting practices. International Research Journal of Applied and Basic Sciences. 2013;4(4):894-901.
- 4. Biaou ARI, Moreira J, Hounhouigan J, Amponsah SK. Effect of threshing drum speed and crop weight on paddy grain quality in axial flow thresher. Journal of Multi-disciplinary Engineering Science and Technology. 2016;3(1):115-119.
- Rickman J, Moreira M, Gummert MCS, Wopereis, Mechanizing Africa's Rice Sector; 2013.
- Bora GC, Hansen GK. Low cost mechanical aid for rice harvesting. Journal of Applied Sciences. 2007;7(23):3815-3818.
- 7. Khan AU. The Asian axial-flow threshers. Proc of the International Conference on

Small Farm Equipment for Developing Countries. 1986;373-388.

- 8. Tiwari RK, Din M, Kumar M. Power threshers for effective threshing of crops since green revolution-a review; 2018.
- 9. Fu J, Chen Z, Han L, Ren L. Review of grain threshing theory and technology. International Journal of Agricultural and Biological Engineering. 2018;11(3):12-20.
- 10. Harrison HP. Grain separation and damage of an axial flow combine. Canadian Journal of Agricultural Engineering. 1992;34(1):49-53.
- 11. Sessiz A, Ulger P. Determination of threshing losses with a rasp bar type axial flow threshing unit. Journal of Agricultural Engineering. 2003;40(4):1-8.
- 12. Harrison HP. Grain separation and damage of an axial flow combine. Canadian Journal of Agricultural Engineering. 1992;34(1):49-53.
- 13. Waman Kishore. Studies on the performance of modified axial flow thresher at different louver angles. M. Tech Thesis. 2012;54-55.
- 14. Radwan GG, Salim RG, Al-Ashry AS. Development and test attachments to the tangential flow thresher to suit caraway crop threshing. Misr Journal of Agricultural Engineering. 2009;26(3):1068-1080.
- 15. Ahorbo GK. Design a throw-in axial flow rice thresher fitted with a peg and screw threshing mechanism. Int. J. Scient. Technol. Res. 2016;5:171-177.
- Olaye ARIB, Moreira J, Hounhouigan J, Amponsah SK. Effect of threshing drum speed and crop weight on paddy grain quality in axial-flow thresher (ASI). J. Multidisciplin. Eng. Sci. Technol. 2016;3: 3716-3721.
- Amponsah SK, Addo A, Dzisi K, Moreira J, Ndindeng SA. Comparative evaluation of mechanized and manual threshing options for Amankwatia and AGRA rice varieties in Ghana. Journal of Agricultural Engineering. 2017;48(4):181-189.
- Ahuja M, Dogra B, Narang MK, Dogra R. Development and evaluation of axial flow paddy thresher equipped with feeder chain type mechanical feeding system. Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology. 2017;23(2):1-10.
- Olayanju TMA, Okonkwo CE, Ojediran JO, Alake SA, Alhassan EA, Okunola AA. Design, development and evaluation of a tangential-flow paddy thresher: A response

surface analysis. Asian Journal of Scientific Research. 2019;12(3):396-405.

- 20. Abdeen MA, Salem AE, Zhang G. Longitudinal Axial Flow Rice Thresher Performance Optimization Using the Taguchi Technique. Agriculture. 2021;11(2):88.
- 21. Singh J, Kumar A, Singh L, Singh V. Performance evaluation of the tractor operated paddy thresher as affected by feeding rate and cylinder speed; 2021.
- 22. Chimchana D, Salokhe VM, Soni P. Development of an unequal speed co-axial split-rotor thresher for rice, the CIGR Ejournal. Manuscript PM08017. 2008;1-11.
- 23. Gummert M, Muhlbuer M, Kutzlaoch W, Wacker P, Quik GR. Performance evaluation of IRRI axial-flow paddy thresher, Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America. 1992;22(1992):47-54.
- 24. Myers RH, Montgomery DC, Anderson– Cook C. Response Surface Methodology: Process and Product Optimization Using

Designed Experiments. John Wiley and Sons Inc, New York, USA, 3rd Editions. 2009;219-280.

- 25. Indian Standard Test Code (IS: 6284-1985). Test code for power thresher for cereals.
- 26. Napier-Munn TJ. The central composite rotatable design. JKMRC, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia. 2000;1-9.
- Belay D, Fetene M. The Effect of Moisture Content on the Performance of Melkassa Multicrop Thresher in Some Cereal Crops. Bioprocess Engineering. 2021;5(1):1-10. DOI: 10.11648/j.be.20210501.11
- Powar RV, Aware VV, Shahare PU. Optimizing operational parameters of finger millet threshing drum using RSM. Journal of Food Science and Technology. 2019;56(7):3481–3491.
- 29. Salari K, Chayjan RA, Khazaei J, Parian A. Threshing Using Response Surface Method (RSM). Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology. 2013;15:467-477.

© 2022 Parihar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/85898