



Evaluation of Maize (*Zea mays* L.) Hybrids Under Agroclimatic Conditions of Prayagraj, U.P.

**Shaik Sharmila Begum^{a*#}, Vikram Singh^{b≡}, Shruti Grace George^{a∞}
and Vivek Pundhir^{ct}**

^a Department of Agronomy, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj-211007, (U.P.), India.

^b Directorate of Seed and Farm, NAI, SHUATS, Prayagraj-211007, (U.P.), India.

^c Department of Agronomy, Narain College, Shikohabad, Firozabad-283135, (U.P.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/V12I930761

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86404>

Received 12 February 2022

Accepted 20 April 2022

Published 23 April 2022

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2021 at Crop Research Farm Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P.). The experiment was carried out to find the performance of 10 hybrids, which laid out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) & replicated thrice. The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (PH 7.8), low in organic carbon (0.35%), available N (243.00 kg/ha), available P(20.10 kg/ha), available K(105.00 kg/ha). The hybrid UM-11 recorded significantly higher in plant height, number of leaves, dry weight. The hybrid UM-11 also recorded significantly higher in yield and yield attributes *viz.* cobs per plant (No.), cob length (cm), rows per cob (No.), grains per row (No.), seed yield and stover yield. Higher gross return, net return and B:C ratio was also observed in hybrid UM-11.

Keywords: Hybrids; yield attributes; growth attributes; economics.

[#] M.Sc. Scholar;

[≡] Associate Professor;

[∞] Ph.D. Scholar;

[†] Associate Professor and Head;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: shaiksharmila35@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

“Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is the third most important cereal crop after wheat and rice. Improving maize production is considered to be one of the most important strategies for food security in the developing countries” [1]. Globally, maize is known as queen of cereals because it has the highest genetic yield potential among the cereals. It is cultivated on nearly 150 m ha in about 160 countries having wider diversity of soil, climate, biodiversity and management practices that contributes 36 % (782 m t) in the global grain production. It is cultivated in the tropics, sub-tropics and temperate regions. The major producing countries are USA, China, Brazil, Mexico, France and India. It ranks first in world production (868 million tons from 168 million hectares) followed by wheat (691 million tons) and rice (461 million tons). “Nutrient composition of maize includes crude protein 7.6%, crude fibre 2.3%, crude fat 3.6%, starch 63.8%, Total sugar 1.7%, Gross energy 3840 kcal/kg” [2].

In India, maize is the third most important food crop after rice and wheat. The maize is cultivated throughout the year in all states of the country for various purposes including grain, fodder, green cobs, sweet corn, baby corn, popcorn in peri-urban areas. “Maize grain today is recognized in worldwide as a strategic food and feed crop that provides an enormous amount of protein and energy for humans and livestock” [3]. “Maize improvement in India started an century ago and several promising hybrids and composite varieties were introduced and evaluated at different locations” ([4].

In Uttar Pradesh maize occupies 7.36 lakh hectare area with production of 12.86 lakh tons and productivity of 18.47 kg/ha (Dept of Ag. Govt. of UP 2013), which is below the national productivity of 25.56 q/ha. In general varieties' performance in the state are not giving yield as high as hybrids can give. Thus, there, is a great need to replace maize varieties with hybrids of different maturity groups.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season of 2021 at the Crop Research farm, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agriculture Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and sciences (SHUATS), Prayagraj, (U.P). which is located at 25.28° N latitude, 81.54° E longitude and 98 m

altitude above the mean sea level (MSL) on sandy loam soil, having moderately basic pH (7.8), organic carbon (0.35%), available N (243.0 kg/ha), P (20.10 kg/ha), K (105.0 kg/ha), EC (0.29 dSm⁻¹). The climate of this region is typically sub-tropical and semi-arid with monsoon commencing by the third week of June and withdrawing by end of October. The temperature reaches up to 43°C and in winter it goes down to as low as 2-3°C. The experiment was laid down in randomized block design (RBD) with 10 hybrids and 3 replications. Sowing of maize hybrid seeds by 60 x 20 cm spacing. The crop was fertilized with a must be added dose of NPK 120:60:40 kg/ha was applied. The dose of phosphorus and potassium fertilizers were applied as basal while, half of the nitrogen was applied as basal and remaining half was applied 25, 45 days after sowing. Similarly, ZnSO₄ was applied as basal dose at the rate of 25 kg/ha for correction of zinc and Sulphur deficiency. Irrigation was done at critical stages i.e. vegetative stage, tasseling stage, cob filling and maturity stage. However other normal cultural practices were weeding and spraying of insecticide was done in timely. One quadrate was harvested in every plot for the determination of results and data was subjected to statistical analysis separately by using the analysis of variance technique. The difference among hybrid means was compared by using the least significant difference test at 5% probability levels.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Growth Attributes

“The significant plant height (218.48cm) was recorded with UM-11. However, hybrids UM-14 (209.79 cm) were found statistically at par with UM-11. Plant height is a genetically as well as environmental controlled factor and different cultivars and hybrids have different plant heights” Tahir et al. [5]. During harvest, significantly the highest number of leaves/plant (No.) was recorded by UM-11(12.63). However, hybrids UM-13 (12.53) were found statistically at par with UM-11 hybrid. “Leaf numbers are an essential metric of the morphological characteristics of maize and can vary with plant genotype and environmental conditions. Most studies on the response of leaf numbers to plant genotypes have demonstrated that the final leaf number varies between different types of cultivars”. Liu et al. [6]. Significantly the maximum plant dry weight (153.87 g) was recorded by UM-11. The minimum plant dry weight (137.70 g) was found

in UM-8. Carter and Polenit [7] “claim that the maximum dry matter of the kernel ensues when the black layer appears in the kernel was a sign of physiological maturity”.

3.2 Yield Attributes

The observations regarding yield attributes viz., number of cobs/plant, cob length(cm), number of rows/cob, number of grains/row and seed index(g) were shown in table 2. “The number of cobs/plant (2.13) was recorded as significantly higher by UM-11. However, the hybrids UM-14 and UM-9 had recorded (1.93 and 1.90) which were found statistically at par with UM-11. The number of cobs per plant as affected by plant population density levels and hybrids revealed that the number of cobs per plant was changed significantly with plant population density and hybrids” by Zamir et al. [8]. The cob length recorded was significant. The significantly higher

cob length (17.71 cm) was recorded by UM-11. However, other hybrid UM-9 and hybrid UM-13 had recorded cob lengths (16.91 and 16.13cm) and were found statistically at par with UM-11. However, maximum number of rows per cob (15.97 cm) was recorded by UM-11 which is significantly higher and other hybrids UM-8 and UM-7 had recorded cob length (15.31 and 14.95) which were found statistically at par with UM-11. The number of grains per row (32.40) was recorded significantly higher in UM-11. However, UM-13 and UM-12 had recorded (29.07 and 27.73) which were significantly at par with UM-11. The maximum test weight (32.00 g) was recorded by UM-11. The minimum test weight (26.00 g) was recorded by UM-6. “Different genetic makeup has resulted in an increases yield attributes like cobs per plant, number of grains per row, etc. which ultimately resulted in an increased in seed yield” [9].

Table 1. Evaluation of Growth attributes of Maize Hybrids Under Agro-climatic Conditions of Prayagraj U.P

Hybrids	Plant Height(cm)	No. of Leaves	Plant Dry Weight(g)
UM-6	182.26	11.00	139.90
UM-7	202.26	11.13	142.10
UM-8	194.51	11.07	137.70
UM-9	204.23	12.20	142.40
UM-10	218.48	11.27	142.37
UM-11	193.13	12.63	153.87
UM-12	187.68	11.40	147.50
UM-13	201.15	12.53	142.20
UM-14	209.79	11.27	144.40
UM-15	199.89	11.30	141.20
F-test	S	S	S
SEm(±)	3.04	0.41	1.34
CD(P=0.05)	9.02	1.22	3.99

Table 2. Evaluation of Yield attributes of Maize Hybrids Under Agro-climatic Conditions of Prayagraj U.P

Hybrids	No. of cobs/plant	Cob length(cm)	No. of rows/cob	No.of grains/row	Seed index(g)
UM-6	1.10	13.14	12.36	24.00	26.00
UM-7	1.77	14.75	14.95	32.00	31.00
UM-8	1.73	15.63	15.31	26.80	28.00
UM-9	1.90	16.91	13.47	27.00	28.00
UM-10	1.40	14.79	13.29	25.67	27.67
UM-11	2.13	17.71	15.97	32.40	32.00
UM-12	1.57	12.91	13.09	27.07	26.33
UM-13	1.47	16.13	14.13	29.07	28.67
UM-14	1.93	14.57	13.20	26.87	27.67
UM-15	1.67	15.39	14.04	24.80	27.33
F-test	S	S	S	S	NS
SEm(±)	0.08	0.62	0.36	1.71	1.73
CD(P=0.05)	0.25	1.83	1.08	5.08	-

Table 3. Evaluation of Yield of maize hybrids under agro climatic conditions of Prayagraj, U.P

Hybrids	Seed yield(t/ha)	Stover yield(t/ha)	Biological yield(t/ha)	Harvest index(%)
UM-6	5.19	15.95	21.14	24.54
UM-7	5.95	17.99	23.94	24.84
UM-8	5.61	16.88	22.48	25.02
UM-9	7.16	18.93	26.09	27.44
UM-10	6.32	19.17	25.48	24.95
UM-11	7.36	20.50	27.86	26.43
UM-12	5.55	18.44	23.99	23.14
UM-13	7.29	17.89	25.18	28.89
UM-14	6.21	18.42	24.63	25.19
UM-15	5.76	17.01	22.77	25.30
F-test	S	S	S	NS
SEm(±)	0.29	0.58	0.60	1.11
CD(P=0.05)	0.86	1.71	1.78	-

3.3 Yield Parameters

Yield parameters were measured in terms of seed yield(t/ha), stover yield(t/ha), biological yield(t/ha) and harvest index (%) were shown in Table 3. The significantly higher seed yield (7.36 t/ha) recorded by UM-11. However, UM-13 (7.29 t/ha), UM-09 (7.16) and UM-10 (6.32 t/ha) were found statistically at par with UM-11. Kumar and Kumar [10] “while conducting experiment on five maize lines reported that plant height was positively correlated with grain yield”. “The maize grain yield is associated with the number of kernals per area, which depend on the number of plant area, number of years per plant and the numbers of kernals per year” by Songoi et al [11]. The significantly higher stover yield (20.50 t/ha) was recorded by UM-11. However, UM-10 (19.17 t/ha) and UM-9 (18.93 t/ha) were found statistically at par with UM-11 .. The biological yield of maize (27.86 t/ha) was significantly higher UM-11. However, UM-09 (26.09 t/ha) was statistically at par with UM-11. The minimum yield was observed in UM-06 (21.14 t/ha). The maximum harvest index (28.89%) was shown by UM-13. The minimum harvest index was shown by UM-12 (23.14%).

4. CONCLUSION

From the above findings it was concluded that among all hybrids, UM-11 was found to be best by obtaining highest growth, yield attributes and yield. It was found more productive, when compared to others under agro climatic conditions of Prayagraj, U.P.

FUTURE SCOPE

As there was less research happened in the field, further research should be done to obtain proper results and help farmers to choose better performing hybrid. Since the findings are based on the research done in one season, further trails are needed to confirm the results of this experiment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The work was supported by my advisor Dr. Vikram Singh and all faculty members, Department of Agronomy, Naini Agricultural Institute, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, (U.P.) India for providing field and assistance in conducting the research trail.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Iqbal M, Saleem M, Rashid O. Inter-racial heterosis in maize hybrids. Pak. J. Sci. Indus. Res. 2001;44:239-243.
2. Afzal US, Anjum MM, Usman H, Khan M, Iqbal OM, Khan K. Seed yield performance of different maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes under agroclimatic conditions of Haripur. International Journal of Environmental Sciences and Natural Resources. 2017;5:97-102.

3. FAOSTAT. Monitoring and assessment of greenhouse gas emissions and mitigation potential in agriculture (MAGHG); 2008.
4. Benti T, Mulata K, W Olde L, W Olku M, Tulu L. Reflections on the successful achievements of hybrid maize breeding program in Ethiopia. In: Ransom (ed.). Maize Productivity gains through research and technology dissemination. Fifth Eastern and Southern Africa Regional Maize Conference Arusha Tanzania. CIMMYT, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia; 1997.
5. Tahir M, Tanveer A, Ali A, Abbas M, Wasaya A. Comparative yield performance of different maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids under local conditions of Faisalabad-Pakistan. Pakistan journal of Life and Social Sciences. 2008;6(2):118-120.
6. Liu W, Ming B, Xie R, Liu G, Wang K, Yang Y, Guo X, Hou P, Li S. Change in maize final leaf numbers and its effect on biomass and grain yield across china. Agriculture. 2020;10(9):411.
7. Carter MW, Poneleit CG. Black layer maturity and filling period variation among inbred lines of corn (*Zea mays* L.). Canadian Journal of Plant Science. 1973; 68:597-606.
8. Zamir MSI, Ahmad AH, Javeed HMR, Latif T. Growth and yield behaviour of two maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) towards different plant spacing. Cercetari Agronomice in Moldova. 2011;44(2).
9. Kumar S, Kandel BP. Performance evaluation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) hybrids in inner-plains of Nepal. Heliyon. 2020;1:1-6.
10. Kumar A, Kumar D. Correlation studies in maize (*Zea mays* L.). Annals of Agric. Biol. Research. 1997;13(2):271-273.
11. Songoi L, Salvador J. Influence of plant height and of leaf number on maize production at high plant densities. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileira, Brasilia. 1998;33:297-306.

© 2022 Begum et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86404>