

17(4): 1-6, 2016, Article no.BJMCS.26697 *ISSN: 2231-0851*

SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*

www.sciencedomain.org



# Cofinitely G-supplemented Modules

### Berna Koşar<sup>ı</sup>\*

*<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Ondokuz Mayis University, Kurupelit, Atakum, Samsun, Turkey.*

*Author's contribution*

*The sole author designed, analyzed and interpreted and prepared the manuscript.*

#### *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/BJMCS/2016/26697 *Editor(s):* (1) Dijana Mosic, Department of Mathematics, University of Nis, Serbia. *Reviewers:* (1) Ergul Turkmen, Amasya University, Turkey. (2) Burcu Nisanci Turkmen, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Amasya University, Turkey. (3) Olivier Heubo-Kwegna, Saginaw Valley State University, USA. Complete Peer review History: http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/15232

### *Original Research Article Published: 30th June 2016*

*[Received: 29](http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/15232)th April 2016 Accepted: 14th June 2016*

# Abstract

In this work, cofinitely g-supplemented modules are defined and investigated some properties of these modules. It is shown that an arbitrary sum of cofinitely g-supplemented modules is cofinitely g-supplemented. In addition, amply cofinitely g-supplemented modules are also defined and given some equivalences.

*Keywords: G-small submodules; supplemented modules; g-supplemented modules; amply g-supplemented modules.*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16D60, 16D80.

# 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper all rings have an identity and all modules are unital left modules.

Let *R* be a ring and *M* be an *R*-module. We denote a submodule *N* of *M* by  $N \leq M$ . If  $M/N$  is finitely generated for  $N \leq M$ , then *N* is called a *cofinite submodule* of *M*. (See [1], [2], [3]) Let *M* be an *R*-module and  $T \leq M$ . If  $K = 0$  for every  $K \leq M$  with  $T \cap K = 0$ ,

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: bernak@omu.edu.tr;*

then *T* is called an *essential submodule* of *M* and it is denoted by  $T \leq M$ . Let  $L \leq M$ . If for every  $T \leq M$  with  $M = L + T$  implies that  $T = M$ , then L is called a *small submodule of M* and denoted by  $L \ll M$ . *K* is called a *generalized small* (briefly, *g-small*) *submodule* of *M* if for every  $T \leq M$  with  $M = K + T$  implies that  $T = M$ , this is written by  $K \ll_q M$ (in [4], it is called an *e-small submodule* of *M* and denoted by  $K \ll_e M$ ). If *T* is both essential and maximal submodule of *M*, then *T* is called a *generalized maximal submodule* of *M*. The intersection of all generalized maximal submodules of *M* is called the *generalized radical* of *M* and it is denoted by  $Rad_{q}M$  (in [4], it is denoted by  $Rad_{e}M$ ). If M have no generalized maximal submodules, then the generalized radical of *M* is defined by  $Rad<sub>g</sub>M = M$ . Let *U* and *V* be sub[mo](#page-4-0)dules of M. If  $M = U + V$  and V is minimal with respect to this property, or equivalently,  $M = U + V$  and  $U \cap V \ll V$ , then *V* is called a *supplement* of *U* in *M*. If  $M = U + V$  and  $M = U + T$ with  $T \subseteq V$  implies that  $T = V$ , or equivalently,  $M = U + V$  and  $U \cap V \ll_g V$ , then V is called a *g-supplement* of *U* in *M*. If ev[ery](#page-4-0) submodule of *M* has a supplement in *M*, then *M* is called a *supplemented module*. (See [5], [6], [7], [8]) *M* is called a *g-supplemented module*, if every submodule of *M* has a g-supplement in *M*. (See [9], [10], [11], [12]) Let  $U \leq M$ . If for every  $V \leq M$  such that  $M = U + V$ , *U* has a g-supplement  $V'$  with  $V' \leq V$ , we say *U* has *ample g-supplements* in *M*. If every submodule of *M* has ample g-supplements in *M*, then *M* is called an *amply g-supplemented module*.

There are some important properties of g-small submodules in [4] *,* [9] *,* [10] and [11].

**Lemma 1.1.** Let M be an R-module and K,  $N \leq M$ . The following conditions are hold. (See [4], [11])

- (1) *If*  $K \leq N$  *and*  $N \ll_q M$ *, then*  $K \ll_q M$ *.*
- (2) If  $K \ll_q N$ , then K is an g-small submod[ul](#page-4-0)e in submodules [o](#page-4-0)f [M](#page-4-0) which [con](#page-4-0)tain N.
- <span id="page-1-0"></span>(3) *If*  $f : M \to N$  *be an R-module homomorphism and*  $K \ll_g M$ *, then*  $f(K) \ll_g N$ *.*
- (4) If  $K \ll_g L$  and  $N \ll_g T$  for  $L, T \leq M$ , then  $K + N \ll_g L + T$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let M be an R*-module. Then  $Rad_{g}M = \sum_{L \ll_{g}M} L$ . (See [9])

**Lemma 1.3.** Let M be an R-module,  $X \leq U \leq M$  and V be a g-supplement of U. Then  $(V + X) / X$  *is a g-supplement of*  $U/X$  *in*  $M/X$  *.* (*See* [9])

#### <span id="page-1-1"></span>2 Cofinitely G-supplemented Modules

**Definition 2.1.** Let *M* be an *R*-module. If every cofin[ite](#page-4-0) submodule of *M* has a g-supplement in *M*, then *M* is called a cofinitely g-supplemented module.

Clearly we see that every g-supplemented module is cofinitely g-supplemented, but the converse is not true in general.

Lemma 2.1. *Assume M be a finitely generated R-module. If M is cofinitely g-supplemented, then M is g-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Clear, since every submodule of *M* is cofinite.

<span id="page-1-2"></span>Lemma 2.2. *Let M be a cofinitely g-supplemented module. Then every factor module of M is cofinitely g-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Let *M/X* be any factor module of *M* and *U/X* be a cofinite submodule of *M/X*. Since *M*  $\frac{M}{U}$   $\cong$   $\frac{M/X}{U/X}$ , *U* is a cofinite submodule of *M*. Since *M* is cofinitely g-supplemented, *U* has a gsupplement *V* in *M*. Then by Lemma 1.3,  $(V + X)/X$  is a g-supplement of  $U/X$  in  $M/X$ . Hence  $M/X$  is cofinitely g-supplemented.  $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

Corollary 2.3. *Any homomorphic image of a cofinitely g-supplemented module is cofinitely gsupplemented.*

*Proof.* Clear from Lemma 2.2.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a cofinitely g-supplemented module. Then every cofinite submodule of M/RadgM is a direct summand.*

*Proof.* Let *U/RadgM* be a cofinite submodule of *M/RadgM*. Then *U* is a cofinite submodule of *M*. Since *M* is cofinitely g-supplemented, *U* has a g-supplement *V* in *M*. Hence  $M = U + V$  and  $U \cap V \ll_g V$ . Then  $\frac{M}{Rad_gM} = \frac{U}{Rad_gM} + \frac{V+Rad_gM}{Rad_gM}$ . Since  $U \cap V \ll_g V$ , by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2,  $U \cap V \leq Rad_{g}M$ . Hence  $\frac{U}{Rad_{g}M} \cap \frac{V+Rad_{g}M}{Rad_{g}M} = \frac{U \cap V+Rad_{g}M}{Rad_{g}M} = 0$  and  $U/Rad_{g}M$  is a direct summand of *M/RadgM*.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let [M](#page-1-0) be an R-module,  $M_1 \leq M$ , U be a cofinite submodule of M and  $M_1$  be a *[cofi](#page-1-1)nitely g-supplemented module. If*  $M_1 + U$  *has a g-supplement in*  $M$ *, then so does*  $U$ *.* 

<span id="page-2-0"></span>*Proof.* Let *X* be a g-supplement of  $M_1 + U$  in  $M$ . Then  $M_1 + U + X = M$  and  $(M_1 + U) \cap X \ll g$ X. Since U is a cofinite submodule of M,  $U + X$  is also a cofinite submodule of M. Then by  $\frac{M_1}{M_1 \cap (U+X)} \cong \frac{M_1 + U + X}{U+X} = \frac{M}{U+X}$ ,  $M_1 \cap (U+X)$  is a cofinite submodule of  $M_1$ . Since  $M_1$  is cofinitely g-supplemented,  $M_1 \cap (U + X)$  has a g-supplement *Y* in  $M_1$ , i.e.  $M_1 \cap (U + X) + Y = M_1$  and  $M_1 \cap (U + X) \cap Y \ll_q Y$ . Following this, we have  $M = M_1 + U + X = M_1 \cap (U + X) + Y + U + X = U +$  $X+Y$  and  $U \cap (X+Y) \leq X \cap (U+Y)+Y \cap (U+X) \leq X \cap (M_1+U)+Y \cap M_1 \cap (U+X) \ll_q X+Y$ . Hence  $X + Y$  is a g-supplement of U in M.

**Corollary 2.5.** Let M be an R-module, U be a cofinite submodule of M and  $M_i \leq M$  for  $i =$ 1, 2, ..., n. If  $U + M_1 + M_2 + ... + M_n$  has a g-supplement in M and  $M_i$  is a cofinitely g-supplemented *module for every*  $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ *, then U has a g-supplement in M.* 

*Proof.* Clear from Lemma 2.4.

Lemma 2.6. *Any sum of cofinitely g-supplemented modules is cofinitely g-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Let  ${M_i}_{i \in I}$  be fa[mily](#page-2-0) of cofinitely g-supplemented submodules of an *R*-module *M* and  $M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$ . Let *U* be any cofinite submodule of *M*. Since *U* is cofinite submodule of *M*, there exists a finite subset  $\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_n\}$  of *I* such that  $M = U + M_{i_1} + M_{i_2} + ... + M_{i_n}$ . Since  $U + M_{i_1} + M_{i_2} + \ldots + M_{i_n}$  has a g-supplement 0 in *M* and  $M_{i_k}$  is cofinitely g-supplemented for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , then by Corollary 2.5, *U* has a g-supplement in *M*. П

**Example 2.7.** *Consider the* Z-module  ${}_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$ *. Since*  $Rad_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q} = {}_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$ *,*  ${}_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$  *have no proper cofinite submodules. Hence*  $\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$  *is a cofinitely g-supplemented. But it is well known that*  $\mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$  *is not g-supplemented.* 

# 3 Amply Cofinitely G-supplemented Modules

Definition 3.1. Let *M* be an *R*-module. If every cofinite submodule of *M* has ample g-supplements in *M*, then *M* is called an amply cofinitely g-supplemented module.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>Lemma 3.1. *Let M be an R-module. If every submodule of M is cofinitely g-supplemented, then M is amply cofinitely g-supplemented.*

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

*Proof.* Let  $M = U + V$  with  $V \leq M$  and U is a cofinite submodule of M. Since  $\frac{V}{U \cap V} \cong \frac{U + V}{U} = \frac{M}{U}$ *U* ∩ *V* is a cofinite submodule of *V*. Since *V* is cofinitely g-supplemented, *U* ∩ *V* has a supplement *T* in V. Because of this  $V = U \cap V + T$  and  $U \cap V \cap T \ll_q T$ . Thus  $M = U + V = U + U \cap V + T = U + T$ and  $U \cap T = U \cap V \cap T \ll_g T$ . Hence *U* has ample g-supplements in *M* and *M* is amply cofinitely g-supplemented.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 3.2. *Every R-module is cofinitely g-supplemented if and only if every R-module is amply cofinitely g-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Clear from Lemma 3.1.

 $\Box$ 

Lemma 3.3. *If M is a π-projective and cofinitely g-supplemented module, then M is an amply cofinitely g-supplemented module.*

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Proof:** Let  $M = U + V$  $M = U + V$  $M = U + V$ , *U* be a cofinite submodule of *M* and *X* be a g-supplement of *U*. Since *M* is  $\pi$ -projective and  $M = U + V$ , there exists an *R*-module homomorphism  $f : M \to M$  such that  $Im f ⊂ V$  and  $Im (1 - f) ⊂ U$ . So, we have  $M = f (M) + (1 - f) (M) = f (U) + f (X) + U =$  $U + f(X)$ . Suppose that  $a \in U \cap f(X)$ . Since  $a \in f(X)$ , then there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $a = f(x)$ . Since  $a = f(x) = f(x) - x + x = x - (1 - f)(x)$  and  $(1 - f)(x) \in U$  we have  $x = a + (1 - f)(x)$  and  $x \in U$ . Thus  $x \in U \cap X$  and so  $f(x) \in f(U \cap X)$ . Therefore we have  $U \cap f(X) \leq f(U \cap X) \lt \lt_g f(X)$ . This means that  $f(X)$  is a g-supplement of *U* in *M* with  $f(X) \subset V$ . Therefore *M* is amply g-supplemented.

Corollary 3.4. *If M is a projective and cofinitely g-supplemented module, then M is an amply cofinitely g-supplemented module.*

*Proof.* Clear from Lemma 3.3.

 $\Box$ 

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-3-2"></span>Lemma 3.5. *Let M be an amply cofinitely g-supplemented module. Then every factor module of M is amply cofinitely g-supplemented.*

<span id="page-3-1"></span>*Proof.* Let *M/T* be any fa[ctor](#page-3-0) module of *M* and let *U/T* be a cofinite submodule of *M/T*. Assume  $\frac{M}{T} = \frac{U}{T} + \frac{V}{T}$  with  $T, V \leq M$ . Since  $U/T$  is a cofinite submodule of  $M/T$ , *U* is a cofinite submodule of *M*. Since  $\frac{M}{T} = \frac{U}{T} + \frac{V}{T}$ ,  $M = U + V$ . Since *M* is amply cofinitely g-supplemented, *U* has a g-supplement  $\overline{K}$  in  $\overline{M}$  with  $K \leq V$ . Then by Lemma 1.3,  $(K+T)/T$  is a g-supplement of  $U/T$  in *M/T* with  $(K+T)/T \leq V/T$ . Hence *M/T* is amply cofinitely g-supplemented.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 3.6. *Let M be an amply cofinitely g-supplemented module. Then every homomorphic image of M is amply cofinitely g-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Clear from Lemma 3.5.

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent.*

- (*a*) *<sup>R</sup>R is g-supplemented.*
- (*b*) *<sup>R</sup>R is amply g-sup[plem](#page-3-1)ented.*
- (*c*) *<sup>R</sup>R is cofinitely g-supplemented.*
- (*d*) *<sup>R</sup>R is amply cofinitely g-supplemented.*
- (*e*) *Every finitely generated R-module is g-supplemented.*
- (*f*) *Every finitely generated R-module is cofinitely g-supplemented.*
- (*g*)  $R^{(I)}$  is cofinitely g-supplemented for every index set I.
- (*h*)  $R^{(I)}$  is amply cofinitely g-supplemented for every index set  $I$ .
- (*i*) *Every R-module is cofinitely g-supplemented.*
- (*k*) *Every R-module is amply cofinitely g-supplemented.*

*Proof.* (*a*)  $\Longleftrightarrow$  (*b*) Clear from [9] Corollary 8, since *RR* is projective.

 $(a) \Leftrightarrow (c)$  Clear from Lemma 2.1.

 $(c) \Leftrightarrow (d)$  Clear from Corollary 3.4, since  $_R R$  is projective.

(*a*)  $\implies$  (*e*) Assume *M* be a finitely generated *R*-module and let  $M = \langle m_1, m_2, ..., m_n \rangle$ . Then  $M = Rm_1 + Rm_2 + \ldots + Rm_n$ . Since  $_R R$  is g-supplemented and  $Rm_i$   $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$  is an homomorphic image of  $_R R$ , [by](#page-4-0) [9] [C](#page-1-2)orollary 4,  $Rm_i$  is g-supplemented. Then by [9] Corollary 3, *M* is g-supplemented.

 $(e) \Longleftrightarrow (f)$  Obtained from Lem[ma](#page-3-2) 2.1.

 $(f) \Longrightarrow (g)$  By hypothesis, *RR* is cofinitely g-supplemented. Because of this, by Lemma 2.6,  $R^{(I)}$  is cofinitely g-supplemented for every index set *I*.

 $(g)$   $\Longleftrightarrow$  $\Longleftrightarrow$  $\Longleftrightarrow$  (*h*) Clear from Corollary 3.4, since  $R^{(I)}$  is projec[t](#page-4-0)ive for every index set *I*.

 $(q) \Longrightarrow (i)$  Clear from Corollary 2.[3, si](#page-1-2)nce every *R*-module is *RR*-generated.

 $(i) \Leftrightarrow (k)$  Obtained from Corollary 3.2.

 $(i) \Longrightarrow (c)$  Clear.

 $\Box$ 

#### 4 Conclusion

In this paper, first time, the notion of cofinitely g-supplemented module is introduced. With this notion we had obtained equalities that was shown in Proposition 3.1.

#### Competing Interests

Author has declared that no competing interests exist.

#### References

- [1] Alizade R, Büyükaşık E. Cofinitely weak supplemented modules. Communications in Algebra. 2003;31(11):5377-5390.
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[2] Alizade R, Bilhan G, Smith PF. Modules whose maximal submodules have supplements. Communications in Algebra. 2001;29(6):2389-2405.
- [3] Nebiyev C, Pancar A. Cofinitely injective and projective Modules. Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. 2010;39(2):171-182.
- [4] Zhou DX, Zhang XR. Small-essential submodules and morita duality. Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics. 2011;35:1051-1062.
- [5] Clark J, Lomp C, Vanaja N, Wisbauer R. Lifting modules. Supplements and projectivity in Module Theory. Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhauser, Basel; 2006.
- [6] Nebiyev C, Pancar A. On strongly *⊕*-supplemented Modules. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal. 2011;63(5):662-667.
- [7] Nebiyev C, Pancar A. On supplement submodules. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal. 2013;65(7):961-966.
- [8] Wisbauer R. Foundations of module and Ring Theory. Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia; 1991.
- [9] Koşar B, Nebiyev C, Sökmez N. G-supplemented modules. Ukrainian Mathematical Journal. 2015;67(6):861-864.
- [10] Quynh TC, Tin PH. Some properties of e-supplemented and e-lifting modules. Vietnam Journal of Mathematics. 2013;41:303-312.
- [11] Sökmez N, Koşar B, Nebiyev C. Genelleştirilmiş Küçük Alt Modüller. XXIII. Ulusal Matematik Sempozyumu, Erciyes Üniversitesi, Kayseri; 2010.
- [12] Celil Nebiyev, Hasan Hüseyin Ökten. Weakly G-supplemented modules. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. (Accepted).

 $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$  , we can consider the constant of  $\mathcal{L}=\{1,2,3,4\}$ *⃝*c *2016 Koşar; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

#### *Peer-review history:*

*The peer review history for this [paper can be accessed here \(Please copy paste](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0) the total link in your browser address bar) http://sciencedomain.org/review-history/15232*