

*Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology* 



*39(4): 1-8, 2020; Article no.CJAST.54995 ISSN: 2457-1024 (Past name: British Journal of Applied Science & Technology, Past ISSN: 2231-0843, NLM ID: 101664541)*

# **Effect of Inoculation of Microbial Consortia on Soil Physicochemical and Nutrient Status**

**Y. Kavya1\* , N. Trimurtulu2 , A. Vijaya Gopal<sup>1</sup> , P. Madhu Vani3 and N. V. V. S. D. Prasad4**

*1 Department of Agriculture Microbiology, APGC, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU), Lam, Guntur, India. <sup>2</sup> Department of Agriculture, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU), Lam, Guntur, India. 3 Department of Soil Science, Regional Agriculture Research Station (RARS), Lam, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU), Guntur, India. <sup>4</sup>* <sup>4</sup> Department of Entomology, Regional Agriculture Research Station (RARS), Lam, *Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University (ANGRAU), Guntur, India.*

## *Authors' contributions*

*This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. Author YK designed the study, performed the statistical analysis, wrote the protocol and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Authors NT and AVG managed the analyses of the study. Author AVG managed the literature searches. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.*

# *Article Information*

DOI: 10.9734/CJAST/2020/v39i430524 *Editor(s):* (1) Dr. A. A. Hanafi-Bojd, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences. P.O. Box 6446, Tehran, Iran. *Reviewers:* (1) Paul Kweku Tandoh, Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana. (2) Christopher Kalima Phiri, Malawi. Complete Peer review History: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/54995

> *Received 20 December 2019 Accepted 26 February 2020 Published 24 March 2020*

*Original Research Article*

# **ABSTRACT**

The experiment was conducted in *kharif*, 2018 at ARS, Amaravathi, Guntur district, to find the efficiency of carrier based microbial consortia. In sorghum carrier based microbial consortia was applied along with different doses of chemical fertilizers. Microbial consortium-1 (*Azospirillium*, Psolubilizer, K-releaser, Zn-solubilizer and PGPR isolate), Microbial consortium-2 (*Azotobacter*, *Azospirillium,* P-solubilizer, K-releaser, Zn-solubilizer and PGPR isolate) were used in the study. Soil pH, electrical conductivity (EC), organic carbon, available nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium were recorded during different intervals of crop growth. The treatments with microbial consortia and

\_

*<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: E-mail: kavyayerasi160@gmail.com;*

75% Recommended Dose of Fertilizers (RDF) showed increased available nutrients content. Soil pH was neutralized in the microbial consortia added treatments, while in treatments with RDF alone the pH was more. There was a significant increase in organic carbon content in treatments with microbial consortia and 75% RDF. Highest available nutrients and organic carbon content was observed in  $T_{10}$  (75% RDF+ Microbial consortium-2). The organic carbon content improved gradually during the crop period and highest was at harvesting stage. All the soil physicochemical properties were improved by the microbial consortia with decreased doses of chemical fertilizers compared to the treatments added with chemical fertilizers alone.

*Keywords: Available nutrients; organic carbon; soil pH; microbial consortia.* 

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

There are several soil and environmental problems which are the major areas of research. Availability of nutrients for the plant growth is one of the important factor that is under research. The microbial consortium is developed for customized solution of soil health related problems, with plant growth promoting properties including root and shoot length elongation, early and high germination rate, high yield, decrease in soil pathogenic load and increase soil micro and macronutrient status. These specifically designed polymicrobial formulations would further provide protection against plant pathogens lowering the need for nitrogen containing fertilizers, solubilize minerals, protect plants against pathogens, and make available to the plant valuable nutrients, such as phosphate, thus reducing and eliminating the need for using chemical fertilizers and chemical pesticides [1].

All over India variety of crops were grown depending on the area, native soil texture and weather. Sorghum is one of the usual grown crop, it is vital life sustaining crop in many parts of the world, ranking fifth after wheat, rice, maize and barley [2]. There is a ranking in grain producing country among them India ranks second after USA. In India it is the third important crop after rice and wheat. Sorghum is primarily used for the food in the different area of India Importance states producing sorghum crop are Maharashtra, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh and Madhya Pradesh. Nutritionally sorghum is superior to all other cereals and therefore it requires to improve the crop yield [2].

## **2. MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A pot experiment was conducted to investigate the effect of efficient microbial consortia on soil health, growth of sorghum crop in pot experiment under green house conditions.

#### **2.1 Experiment Site**

The pot experiment was carried out in green house, ARS, Amaravathi. The soil of the experiment was vertisols. Representative soil sample to fill the pots was collected from the field prior to processing and analysed for the physical, chemical and biological properties by adopting standard procedures at Department of Agricultural Microbiology, APGC, Lam and Department of Soil Science (RRU Chemistry), RARS, Lam, Guntur.

#### **2.2 Experimental Setup**

The soil used in this experiment was black soil and sand mixture in the ratio of 2:1. Soil mixture was weighed and 7 kg was filled into each pot. CSV-27 variety of sorghum was sown at the rate of 6-8 seeds/pot then thinned out to maintain only 2 plants per pot.

Location: ARS, Amaravathi Design: CRD (pot culture)<br>Variety: CSV-27 Variety:

## **2.3 Treatments**

**Microbial consortia preparation:** Microbial consortia were prepared and mixed with the carrier material in 2:3 ratio (consortial broth: carrier material). These are microbial consortium 1 (*Azospirillium*, P-solubilizer, K-releaser, Znsolubilizer and PGP isolate) and microbial consortium 2 (*Azotobacter*, *Azospirillium,* Psolubilizer, K-releaser, Zn-solubilizer and PGPR isolate). The prepared mixture was added to the pot experiment according to the treatments. The treatments are  $T_1$ : Microbial consortium 1,  $T_2$ : Microbial consortium 2,  $T_3$ : 50% RDF + Microbial consortium 1,  $T_4$ : 50% RDF + Microbial consortium 2,  $T_5$ : 50% RDF + FYM + Microbial consortium 1,  $T_6$ : 50% RDF + FYM + Microbial consortium 2,  $T_7$ : 75% RDF + Microbial  $consortium$  1,  $T_8$ : 75% RDF + Microbial consortium 2,  $T_9$ : 75% RDF + FYM + Microbial

consortium 1,  $T_{10}$ : 75% RDF + FYM +Microbial consortium 2,  $T_{11}$ : 100% RDF and  $T_{12}$ : 100% RDF + FYM.

Then after, CSV-27 sorghum variety was sown at a rate of 6-8 seeds/pot and thinned out to maintain only 2 plants per pot at 10 days after sowing. The experiment was arranged in complete randomized design and replicated Soil samples were collected from the field prior to processing and analysed for the physical, chemical and biological properties by adopting standard procedures at Department of Agricultural Microbiology, APGC, Lam and Department of Soil Science (RRU Chemistry), RARS, Lam, Guntur. pH of the samples was determined in 1:2.5 substrate water suspension by using digital  $pH$  meter (Systronics  $\mu$   $pH$ system 361) [3]. The electrical conductivity of slurry samples was determined in saturation extract by using Elico CM 180 conductivity meter [3]. Soil organic-carbon was estimated following the method described by Walkley and Black [4]. Soil organic carbon was calculated as given below and expressed in per cent.

Organic carbon per cent:

 $10 (B - S) \times 0.003$  $\frac{dx}{dt}$   $\times \frac{100}{t}$   $\times \frac{100}{t}$ wt of the sample taken (g)

Titre value of the blank in  $ml = B$ Titre value of the sample in  $ml = S$ 

The alkaline potassium permangante method of Subbiaiah and Asija (1956) was followed for the estimation of available N content in soil. Available P in soil was determined by Olsen's method described by Olsen et al. [5]. Available P was expressed in  $Kg$  ha<sup>-1</sup>. Available potassium content from soil was extracted by using 1 N neutral normal ammonium acetate as described by Jackson [3]. The concentration of potassium in the extractant was determined by flame photometer and expressed in Kg ha $^{-1}$ .

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## **3.1 Soil pH**

Initial pH of the soil used for the experiment was 7.5 (Table 1) while at 45 Days after Sowing (DAS) pH was high in  $T_{11}$  (100% RDF) 8.2, which was significantly different with  $T_8$  (75% RDF +  $MC<sub>2</sub>$ ) 8.1. At 90 DAS significantly high pH was observed in  $T_{11}$  (100% RDF) 7.4, when compared to T<sub>9</sub> (75% RDF + MC<sub>1</sub> + FYM) 7.3. At 120 DAS significantly high pH was observed in  $T_5$ , (50% RDF +  $MC_1$ + FYM) 7.9, when compared to T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDF +  $MC_2$  + FYM) 7.8 (Table 1). pH varied among the treatments at different stages of crop growth. Application of microbial consortia along with chemical fertilizers increased the pH from near neutral to alkaline at 45 DAS, while at 90DAS increased microbial activity lead to decrease in pH near to neutral and at the end the pH of soil increased to alkaline state, which is due to the buffering nature of the soil and more organic matter accumulation. The results showed that application of microbial consortia cause relative decrease in pH at 90 DAS, which was optimum for solubilization of bound elements and it may be attributed to the production of organic acids (glycine, cystein and humic acid) during mineralization of organic materials by heterotrophs. These results were accordance to Patel et al. [6] and Lin et al. [7] where the microbes lowered the soil pH by releasing of organic acids.

#### **3.2 Electrical Conductivity**

Initial electrical conductivity (EC) was  $0.23$  dSm<sup>-1</sup> (Table 1). At 45 DAS EC of all the treatments increased significantly compared to the initial and highest EC was observed in  $T_2$  (MC<sub>2</sub>) 0.91 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, which was higher than  $T_6$  (50% RDF+  $MC_2+FYM$ ) 0.79 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. At 90 DAS high EC was observed in  $T_2$  (MC<sub>2</sub>) 0.97 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, which was significantly higher than T<sub>6</sub> (50% RDF + MC<sub>2</sub> +  $FYM$ ) 0.84 dSm<sup>-1</sup>. At 120 DAS highest EC was observed in  $T_2$  (MC<sub>2</sub>) 0.19 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, which was significantly higher than  $T_1$  (MC<sub>1</sub>) and  $T_5$  (50%  $RDF + MC_1 + FYM$ ) 0.17 dSm<sup>-1</sup> (Table1).

The present results showed that electrical conductivity increased in the treatments having MC along with inorganic fertilizers. This may be due to increase in solubility of many nutrients and thus providing optimum conditions for better plant growth. The increase of soil EC might occur due to production of acids or acid forming compounds that reacted with the sparingly soluble salts already present in the soil and increased their solubility. Hence, the EC of soil may be increased e.g.,  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  (ever present in the soils of arid and semi-arid regions) may be converted to  $CaHCO<sub>3</sub>$  or even to  $Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>$  which are more soluble forms. The Similar results had been reported by Sarwar et al. [8].

#### **3.3 Soil Organic Carbon**

Initial organic carbon was 0.36% (Table 1). At 45 DAS increase in organic carbon content was

<b>Treatments</b>	Soil pH			$EC$ (dSm <sup>-1</sup> )			Organic carbon (%)		
	<b>45 DAS</b>	<b>90 DAS</b>	<b>120 DAS</b>	<b>45 DAS</b>	<b>90 DAS</b>	<b>120 DAS</b>	<b>45 DAS</b>	<b>90 DAS</b>	<b>120 DAS</b>
	7.8	7.1	7.7	0.53	0.64	0.17	1.02	1.05	1.06
1 <sub>2</sub>	7.3	7.1	7.5	0.91	0.97	0.19	0.64	0.66	0.84
$_{13}$	7.1	7.2	7.7	0.67	0.62	0.12	1.01	1.06	1.06
$\mathsf{I}_4$	7.4	7.2	7.7	0.06	0.13	0.16	0.65	0.68	0.86
1 <sub>5</sub>	7.9	7.2	7.9	0.52	0.65	0.17	0.65	0.70	0.87
1 <sub>6</sub>	7.6	7.2	7.7	0.79	0.84	0.16	0.68	0.72	0.92
17	7.8	7.2	7.6	0.55	0.55	0.11	0.96	1.03	1.06
18	8.1	7.1	7.7	0.52	0.57	0.11	0.97	1.02	1.08
Ιg	8.0	7.3	7.4	0.44	0.46	0.14	0.94	1.03	1.12
$1_{10}$	7.9	7.1	7.8	0.60	0.62	0.12	0.85	1.02	1.16
1 <sub>11</sub>	8.2	7.4	7.7	0.43	0.44	0.11	0.31	0.60	0.86
$1_{12}$	7.9	7.1	7.3	0.42	0.46	0.11	0.34	0.68	0.89
SE(m)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.003	0.004	0.01	0.01
$CD (P=0.05)$	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03
СV	0.28	0.33	0.22	2.56	2.01	3.77	0.83	1.12	0.59

**Table 1. Effect of microbial consortia on soil physico- chemical properties of sorghum under green house conditions**

*T1: MC1; T2: MC2; T3: 50% RDF + MC1; T4: 50% RDF + MC2; T5: 50% RDF + FYM + MC1; T6: 50% RDF + FYM + MC2; T7: 75% RDF + MC1; T8: 75% RDF + MC2;* 

*T9: 75% RDF + FYM + MC1; T10: 75% RDF + FYM + MC2; T11: 100% RDF; T12: 100% RDF + FYM*

*MC1: (Microbial consortium 1: Azospirillium + PSB + KRB + ZnSB + PGPR Isolate)*

*MC2: (Microbial consortium 2: Azotobacter + Azospirillium+ PSB + KRB + ZnSB + PGPR Isolate)*

<b>Treatments</b>	Available nitrogen (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )				Available phosphorus (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )			Available potassium (Kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )		
	<b>45 DAS</b>	<b>90 DAS</b>	<b>120 DAS</b>	<b>45 DAS</b>	<b>90 DAS</b>	<b>120 DAS</b>	<b>45 DAS</b>	<b>90 DAS</b>	<b>120 DAS</b>	
$T_1$	75.60	144.90	114.03	20.65	42.64	26.23	252.93	307.07	224.93	
T <sub>2</sub>	81.90	149.62	107.73	21.70	49.39	27.01	258.53	312.67	228.67	
$T_3$	87.57	158.02	120.75	22.78	51.54	27.67	265.07	337.87	237.07	
T <sub>4</sub>	93.87	159.07	108.35	25.83	61.00	28.09	269.73	342.53	233.33	
$T_5$	97.02	164.32	114.66	24.59	72.15	28.87	273.47	348.13	241.73	
$T_6$	98.49	165.31	101.43	26.39	74.69	30.51	278.13	354.67	244.53	
T <sub>7</sub>	100.59	167.83	95.55	29.65	75.57	32.02	281.87	381.73	256.67	
$T_8$	103.01	173.25	101.43	33.68	76.50	33.35	287.47	384.53	259.47	
$T_9$	107.63	182.49	114.66	35.44	77.10	35.22	292.13	390.13	272.53	
$T_{10}$	113.19	185.85	114.66	36.21	77.89	36.85	300.53	396.67	278.13	
$\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{11}}$	95.43	161.28	107.73	26.59	57.15	26.47	275.33	362.13	266.67	
$T_{12}$	97.02	163.17	108.15	26.80	61.52	26.88	288.40	374.27	269.73	
SE(m)	0.459	0.400	0.545	0.135	0.257	0.226	0.894	0.933	0.914	
$CD (P=0.05)$	1.347	1.173	1.600	0.396	0.756	0.664	2.624	2.740	2.684	
CV	0.828	0.421	0.865	0.849	0.688	1.308	0.559	0.452	0.630	

**Table 2. Effect of microbial consortia on available nutrients in soil of sorghum under green house conditions**

*T1: MC1; T2: MC2; T3: 50% RDF + MC1; T4: 50% RDF + MC2; T5: 50% RDF + FYM + MC1; T6: 50% RDF + FYM + MC2; T7: 75% RDF + MC1; T8: 75% RDF + MC2;*

*T9: 75% RDF + FYM + MC1; T10: 75% RDF + FYM + MC2; T11: 100% RDF; T12: 100% RDF + FYM*

*MC1: (Microbial consortium 1: Azospirillium + PSB + KRB + ZnSB + PGPR Isolate)*

*MC2: (Microbial consortium 2: Azotobacter + Azospirillium+ PSB + KRB + ZnSB + PGPR Isolate)*

observed in all the treatments and highest was observed in  $T_1$  (MC<sub>1</sub>) 1.02%, which was significantly higher than  $T_3$  (50% RDF + MC<sub>1</sub>) 1.01%. At 90 DAS increase in organic carbon content was observed in all the treatments and highest organic carbon was observed in  $T_3$  (50%) RDF +  $MC_1$ ) 1.06%, which was significantly higher than  $T_1$  (MC<sub>1</sub>) 1.05%. At 120 DAS organic carbon increase was observed in all the treatments and highest organic carbon was observed in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDF + MC<sub>2</sub> + FYM) 1.16%, which was significantly higher than  $T<sub>9</sub>$  (75% RDF  $+$  MC<sub>1</sub> + FYM) 1.12% (Table 1). The present results indicated that application of MC that contain living microorganisms was one of the management practices that can help to maintain or increase the content of organic carbon and improve soil fertility. This was probably due to the rapid decomposition of fresh or immature organic material and the intensive polymerization process (humification) of organic matter as influenced by the microbial consortia. These results were in accordance to Bozena et al. (2016).

#### **3.4 Availability of Macro Nutrients in the Soil**

**Nitrogen:** Available nitrogen content was 72.27 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2) in the initial soil sample. At  $45$ DAS all the treatments showed increased available nitrogen content compared to initial. High available nitrogen was observed in  $T_{10}$  $(75\% \text{ RDF} + \text{MC}_2 + \text{FYM})$  113.19 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> which was significantly higher than  $T<sub>9</sub>$  (75% RDF + MC<sub>1</sub>)  $+$  FYM) 107.627 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At 90 DAS all the treatments showed increased available nitrogen content compared to initial and 45DAS and highest available nitrogen was observed in  $T_{10}$  $(75\% \text{ RDF} + \text{MC}_2 + \text{FYM})$  185.85 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, which was significantly higher than  $T<sub>9</sub>$  (75% RDF + MC<sub>1</sub>)  $+$  FYM) 182.49 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At 120 DAS available nitrogen content decreased in all treatments compared to 90DAS and highest available nitrogen content was observed in  $T_3$  (50% RDF +  $MC<sub>1</sub>$ ) 120.75 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> which was significantly higher than T<sub>5</sub> (50% RDF + MC<sub>1</sub> +FYM), T<sub>9</sub> (75%  $RDF + MC_1 + FYM$  and  $T_{10}$  (75% RDF + MC<sub>2</sub> +  $FYM$ ) 114.66 Kg ha $^{-1}$  (Table 2).

In present study, combined application of inorganic fertilizers and MC increased the availabllity of nitrogen in soil at 45 DAS and 90 DAS. The important characteristic of *Azospirillum*  and *Azotobacter* was that they excrete ammonia in the rhizosphere in the presence of root exudates. The combined treatments of inorganic

fertilizers and biofertilizer in the present study resulted in a higher available N content in the soil. These similar results were found earlier by Narula and Gupta [9] and Wu et al. [10].

**Phosphorus:** Available phosphorus content was 18.32 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2) in the initial soil sample. At 45 DAS all the treatments showed increased available phosphorus content compared to initial. Highest available phosphorus content was observed in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDF + MC<sub>2</sub> + FYM) 36.21 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> which was significantly higher than  $T_9$  $(75\% \text{ RDF} + \text{MC}_1 + \text{FYM})$  35.44 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At 90 DAS all the treatments showed increased available phosphorus content compared to initial and 45 DAS. Highest available phosphorus was observed in  $T_{10}$  (75% RDF + MC<sub>2</sub>+ FYM) 77.89 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> which was statistically on par with  $T_9$  $(75\% \text{ RDF} + \text{MC}_1 + \text{FYM})$  77.10 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At 120 DAS available phosphorus content decreased in all treatments compared to 90 DAS and significantly high available phosphorus content was observed in  $T_{10}$  (75% RDF + MC<sub>2</sub> + FYM) 36.84 Kg ha $^{-1}$  which was significantly higher than  $T_9$  (75% RDF + MC<sub>1</sub> + FYM) 35.21 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2).

The findings revealed that available soil phosphorus significantly increased with dual application of microbial consortia and inorganic fertilizers. Therefore, use of microbial consortia with chemical fertilizer can play an important role in improving P bioavailability. The increase in soil P content might be due to the P-solubilizing potential of the isolates used in microbial consortia. This may be attributed to the production of organic acids, chelating oxo-acids and solubilization of inorganic insoluble phosphates by microorganisms. Application of phosphate fertilizers with PSB enriched the rhizosphere with soil available P resulting in improved yield of rice crop. The potential role of soil microorganisms for increasing the amount of available P from phytase activity has also been reported by Richardson [11]. Sundara et al. [12] found that the application of PSB, *Bacillus megatherium* var. *phosphaticum*, increased the Phosphate Solubilizing Bacteria (PSB) population in the rhizosphere and hence increment in P availability in the soil.

**Potassium:** Available potassium content was 229.85 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2) in the initial soil. At  $45$ DAS all the treatments showed increased available potassium content compared to initial. Highest available potassium content was observed in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDF + MC<sub>2</sub> + FYM) 300.53

Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was significantly higher than  $T<sub>9</sub>$  (75%  $RDF + MC_1 + FYM$ ) 292.13 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At 90 DAS all the treatments showed increased available potassium content compared to initial and 45 DAS. Highest available potassium was observed in T<sub>10</sub> (75% RDF + MC<sub>2</sub> + FYM) 396.66 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was significantly higher than  $T_9$  (75% RDF + MC<sub>1</sub>  $+$  FYM) 390.13 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. At 120 DAS available potassium content decreased in all treatments compared to 90 DAS and highest available potassium content was observed in  $T_{10}$  (75% RDF +  $MC_2$  + FYM) 278.13 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was significantly higher than T<sub>9</sub> (75% RDF + MC<sub>1</sub>+  $FYM$ ) 272.53 Kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 2).

The results indicated that application of M.C along with inorganic fertilizers increase the available potassium content in soil. This may be due to variety of soil microbes which can release soluble potassium from potassium-bearing minerals. These microbes release organic acid, which quickly dissolves rock and chelate silicon ions, releasing K ions into the soil [13,14].

# **4. CONCLUSION**

The treatments with microbial consortia and 75% RDF showed increased available nutrients content and also enzymatic activities. Soil pH was neutralized in the microbial consortia added treatments, while in treatments with RDF alone the pH increased. There was a significant increase in organic carbon content in treatments with microbial consortia and 75% RDF. Highest available nutrients and organic carbon content was observed in  $T_{10}$  (75% RDF+ Microbial consortium-2). The organic carbon content improved gradually during the crop period and highest was at harvesting stage. All the soil physicochemical and nutrient status was improved by the microbial consortia with decreased doses of chemical fertilizers compared to the treatments added with chemical fertilizers alone. So the use of microbial consortia helps in minimizing the use of chemical fertilizers and reduce the cost of cultivation which inturn reduces the environmental pollution.

# **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

# **REFERENCES**

1. Paikray SP. Novel formulation of microbial consortium based bioinoculant for wide spread use in agriculture practices. United states patent application publication. Publication No.: US; 2012.

- 2. Prathibha KS, Siddalingeshwara KG. Effect of plant growth promoting *Bacillus subtilis* and *Pseudomonas fluorescence* as rhizobacteria on seed quality of sorghum. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2013; 2:11-18.
- 3. Jackson ML. Soil chemical analysis. Prentice - Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. 1973;498.
- 4. Walkley A, Black IA. An examination of different methods for determining soil organic matter and aproposed modifications of the chromic acid titration method. Soil Science. 1934;37(1):29- 38.
- 5. Olsen SR, Cole CV, Watanable FS, Dean, LA. Estimation of available phosphorus in soils by extraction with sodium bicarbonate. USDA, Washington, D.C. Circ. No. 939. 1954;1-19.
- 6. Patel N, Patel Y, Mankad A. Bio fertilizer: A promising tool for sustainable farming. International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology. 2014;3(9):56-69:
- 7. Lin TF, Huang HI, Shen FT, Young C. The protons of gluconic acid are the major factor responsible for the dissolution of tricalcium phosphate by *Burkholderia cepacia* CC-A174. Bioresource Technology. 2006;97(7):957-960.
- 8. Sarwar G, Hussain N, Mujeeb F, Schmeisky H, Hassan H. Biocompost application for the improvement of soil characteristics and dry matter yield of *Lolium perenne* (Grass). Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2003;2(2):237-241.
- 9. Narula N, Gupta KG. Ammonia excretion by *Azotobacter chroococcum* in liquid culture and soil in the presence of manganese and clay minerals. Plant and Soil. 1986;93(2):205-209.
- 10. Wu SC, Cao ZH, Li ZG, Cheung KC, Wong MH. Effects of biofertilizer containing Nfixer, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: A greenhouse trial. *Geoderma*. 2005;125(1-2):155-166.
- 11. Richardson AE. Prospects for using soil microorganisms to improve the acquisition of phosphorus by plants. Functional Plant Biology*.* 2001;28(9):897-906.
- 12. Sundara B, Natarajan V, Hari K. Influence of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria on the

*Kavya et al.; CJAST, 39(4): 1-8, 2020; Article no.CJAST.54995*

change in soil available phosphorus and sugarcane and sugar yields. Field Crops Research*.* 2002;77(1):43-49.

13. Bennett PC, Rogers JR, Choi WJ. Selective weathering of silicates by microorganisms: Nutrient driven destructtion of feldspars.  $9<sup>th</sup>$  Annual VM. Goldschmidt Conference, Toulouse, France. Mineralogical Magazine. 1998; 62A(1):149–150.

14. Friedrich S, Platonova NP, Karavaikom GI, Stichel E, Glombitza F. Chemical and microbiological solubilization of silicates. Acta Biotechnologica. 2004;187-196.

 $\_$  , and the set of th *© 2020 Kavya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.*

> *Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/54995*