

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

12(9): 272-281, 2022; Article no.IJECC.86279 ISSN: 2581-8627 (Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Impact of Mulches on Water Dynamics for Chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflora I.*) Crop under Drip Irrigation System in India

D. Jawaharlal^{a*}, G. Manoj Kumar^a and A. Manohar Rao^a

^a Department of Soil and Water Engineering, College of Agricultural Engineering, Kandi, Sangareddy, Telangana, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2022/v12i930764

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86279

Original Research Article

Received 12 February 2022 Accepted 22 April 2022 Published 25 April 2022

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted at Precision Farming Development Center, college farm, college of agriculture, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during kharif, The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three drip irrigation levels as main plot treatments (viz., I₁- Drip irrigation at 1.0 E pan, I₂-Drip irrigation 0.8 E pan, I₃- Drip irrigation 0.6E pan) and four mulches (viz., M₁-No mulch, M₂-Paddy straw, M₃- Black plastic mulch, M₄- Dried leaves) as sub treatments and were replicated thrice. The experimental soil was sandy clay loam in texture with low in available nitrogen and high in available phosphorus and potassium. Moisture content was estimated under all three main plot treatments and four subplot treatments. Higher moisture was observed below black plastic mulch and lowest moisture observed below the no mulch condition. Drip irrigation scheduled at 0.6 E pan recorded higher water use efficiency (111.09 kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹). The least WUE was observed in drip irrigation at 1.0 E pan. On the other hand maximum WUE was recorded with application of polythene mulch (113.5 kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹), While the least was recorded with no mulch (90.43 kg ha⁻¹ mm⁻¹). The results of soil moisture content in the present study indicated that, application of black plastic mulch would reduce the soil moisture evaporation which will enhance the uniform distribution availability of soil moisture for longer days, compare to other mulches namely no mulch, paddy straw and dried leaves.

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: jawahardharavath@gmail.com;

Keywords: Chrysanthemum crop; drip irrigation; mulches and water use efficiency.

1. INTRODUCTION

Floriculture has been identified as a potential sector of business in India as well as the world due to divergence of farmers towards high value floriculture and utilization of flowers in social events as well as for industrial utility. According to statistics indicated in the Handbook on Horticulture Statistics 2014, the total area under flower crops in 2012-13 was 232.70 thousand hectares. Total area under floriculture in India is second largest in the world and only next to China. Production of flowers was estimated to be 1729.2 MT of loose flowers and 76731.9 million (numbers) of cut flowers in 2012-13. Fresh and Dried cut flowers dominate floriculture exports from India. Among states, Karnataka is the leader in floriculture with about 29,700 hectares under floriculture cultivation. Other major flower growing states are Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh in the South, West Bengal in the East, Maharashtra in the West and Rajasthan, Delhi and Haryana in the North. Chrysanthemum being a shallow rooted flower crop, nutrition enrichment for the crop and the prevalence of congenial soil moisture and temperature are considered important for improved crop yield [1-4]. Besides weed competition, evapotranspiration loss of moisture without proper supplement of irrigation impedes the economical productivity of the crop. Hence irrigation plays an important role on the crop production of chrysanthemum [5,6]. The first extensive comparison of drip irrigation with conventional form of irrigation were made by Glodberg and his co-workers under severely limiting environmental conditions in the Arava and Negev districts of Israel and where an vield of more than 75 percent was observed. To study the moisture distribution pattern under different type of mulches with drip irrigation. in case of pepper, tomato crops [7,8] In view of deficit of water condition prevailing in the precision farming development center, rajendra nagar, Hyderabad, there is a need to adopt micro irrigation to conserve the water in the soil profile and its best possible utilization for plant growth. Covering the gaps between the rows with mulch material prevent rapid evaporation from the soil surface and reduce rapid drying of the land. Mulching also suppress weed infestation effectively [9-12]. Furthermore, it stimulates microbial activity in soil by increasing soil temperature, which improves agro physical properties of soil [13]. Several research evidences on mulches in flower crops such as

China aster, Crossandra, Gladiolus and Marigold proved to be effective in preventing the loss of moisture [13,14,15] (Gavhane et al., 2004). However, information on the effectiveness of using different mulches on growth and development of chrysanthemum to this region is not adequately available. Therefore to generate research evidences on these aspects, the present investigation as impact of mulches on water dynamics for chrysanthemum crop under drip irrigation system.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the drip irrigation generally frequent application of small quantity of water is supplied directly to the plant roots in drops by emitters placed along the lateral. In the present field experiment the drip irrigation was used for chrysanthemum. The water source for irrigation was from an open well. The water from the open was lifted with a pressurized submersible water pump to a sand filter system and then to screen filtration system to remove both organic and inorganic impurities from irrigation water. Filtered water then flowed to an irrigation manifold which in turn supplied water to the specific plots. Flow meters were used to measure flow rates to each individual treatment according to the designed pan evaporation replenishment factor. Irrigation water from manifolds flowed into 12 mm dripper lines laid out on the ground surface along the crop rows with emitters spaced 45 cm apart with delivering rate of 4lph. Drip irrigation system consist of main, sub-main and laterals that were laid out in the experimental plot. The laterals of 12 mm diameter were laid 1.2 m apart with spacing of 45 cm distance between two inline emitters. The each emitter discharge capacity was 4 lph and control valve were fixed on all laterals to facilitate in controlling the water flow in the system.

2.1 Design and Layout of the Experiment

The experiment was laid out using split plot design with three replications. Three irrigation levels were taken as the main treatments and four different types of mulches are taken as sub treatments laid out in split plot design with three drip irrigation levels as main plot treatments (viz., I_1 - Drip irrigation at 1.0 E pan, I_2 - Drip irrigation 0.8 E pan, I_3 - Drip irrigation 0.6E pan) and four mulches (viz., M_1 -No mulch, M_2 - Paddy straw, M_3 - Black plastic mulch, M_4 - Dried leaves) as sub treatments and were replicated thrice.

2.2 Soil Moisture Content

Moisture content in the soil was estimated by collecting the soil samples of the field during the day of any irrigation level covering all the 24 hours period starting from the first hour of irrigation i.e., T_1 and further the moisture is also observed during the next 24 hours on the second day i.e., one day after irrigation, all these soil samples are collected at representative depths of 10 cm, 20 cm and 30 cm from the ground level. Soil moisture content (%) was measured through augers at different depth of soil layers at various crop growth stages (flowering, flower maturity and harvest).

2.3 Observation of Soil Moisture

2.3.1 Soil moisture content (%) at 30 cm depth

Soil samples were taken at 30 cm depth from all plots of the experimental area. The soil moisture was determined gravimetrically, after oven drying the samples at 105°C for 24 hours to a constant weight, soil moisture was calculated and expressed in percentage.

Percentage of soil moisture = $\frac{w_1 - w_2}{w_2}$

Where, W_1 = Initial weight of soil, grams W_2 = Oven dry weight of soil percentage, grams

Moisture distribution pattern below emitter can be analyzed from the known moisture percentage readings with a SURFER package by supplying the moisture data as the input. On starting the software, there are two commands displayed, one is work sheet and another is plot document, on opening the worksheet, supply the data taken from gravimetric method and save the file after that choose plot document and choose the file (work sheet) and save these files in grids. In the next stage select map and go to contour map next, new contour map and select the file in the grid format moisture distribution pattern can be displayed on plot document after that click on view then fit to window.

Soil moisture contents that showed that the semi variance depended on distance were interpolated, without bias and with minimum variance using the kriging system (Vieira, 2000). The kriging estimator $z^*(x_0)$ at location x^0 is expressed by:

$$\mathsf{Z}^{*}(x_{0}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}(x_{i})$$

Where li is the kriging weight associated with observation i at location x_i . When submitted to un biasedness and minimum variance conditions, the kriging system, in terms of semivariogram, becomes:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_i \gamma(x_i, x_j) + \mu = \gamma(x_i, x_0) i = 1, 2, ..., n$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_j = 1$$

Where μ is the Lagrange multiplier.



Image 1. Presentation of Input data for soil moisture in the SURFER package



Image 2. Output image displayed in the SURFER package

Kriging was used in this study to provide values at every 5 cm spacing both in the X and Y directions in order to properly build contour maps of soil moisture contents for different sampling dates with Surfer program (Golden Software, 1999).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The moisture content of the field is also analyzed to observe water/moisture dynamics in the irrigated field for black plastic mulch condition, the moisture content at surface layer of (0-10 cm) was found to be 38.2 cm³ cm⁻³ immediately after irrigation, similarly a moisture content of 30.2 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at a depth of 20 cm and 28.4 $\rm cm^3 \ cm^{-3}$ was noted down at 30 cm depth. A moisture content also assessed on the next day after irrigation moisture content of 34.4 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed in the upper layer of 10 cm, 25.0 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 20 cm depth and also observed moisture content of 22.5 cm³ cm⁻³ observed at 30 cm depth. Hence there is a difference of 3.8 cm³ cm⁻³ at top layer of 10 cm from first day to second day after irrigation, similarly there is a difference of 5 cm³ cm⁻³ in the laver of 20 cm and difference of 8.4 cm³ cm⁻³ at 30 cm depth.

The moisture content of the field is also analyzed to observe water / moisture dynamics in the irrigated field for no mulch condition, the moisture content at surface layer of 10 cm was found to be 20.8 cm³ cm⁻³ immediately after irrigation, similarly a moisture content of 24.7 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 20 cm depth and 23.6 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 30 cm depth. a moisture content on the next day after irrigation moisture content of 19.9 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed in the upper layer of 10 cm, 20.82 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 20 cm depth and 22.8 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at the 30 cm depth, hence these variation is because of the application of mulches and dynamics of water / moisture during the day of any irrigation level 1.0 E pan as shown in (Figs. 1, 2, 3 and 4), as well as the water/moisture dynamics of the field day after irrigation as shown in (Figs. 3, 4, 5 and 6).

Similarly for irrigation level of 0.8 E pan the water / moisture dynamics are also discussed has The moisture content of the field is also analyzed to observe water/moisture dynamics in the 87 irrigated field for black plastic mulch condition, the moisture content at surface layer of (0-10 cm) was found to be 34.8 cm³ cm⁻³ Immediately after irrigation, similarly a moisture content of 27.4 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at a depth of 20 cm and 25.0 $cm^3 cm^{-3}$ was noted down at 30 cm depth. A moisture content also assets on the next day after irrigation moisture content of 29.8 cm³ cm⁻ was observed in the upper layer of 10 cm, 26.5 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 20 cm depth and also observed moisture content of 24.2 cm³ cm⁻³ observed at 30 cm depth. Hence there is a difference of 5 cm³ cm⁻³ at top layer of 10 cm from first day to second day after irrigation; similarly there is a difference of 0.9 cm³ cm⁻³ at the layer of 20 cm and difference of 0.8 cm³ cm⁻³ at 30 cm depth.



Horizontal distance, cm





Fig. 2a. Moisture distribution under plastic mulch on the day of irrigation at 1.0 Epan









Horizontal distance, cm

Fig. 1b. Moisture distribution under paddy straw on the day of irrigation at 1.0 Epan



Fig. 2b. Moisture distribution under dried leaves mulch on the day of irrigation at 1.0 Epan



Horizontal distance, cm

Fig. 3b. Moisture distribution under paddy straw mulch next day after irrigation at 1.0 Epan



Fig. 4a. Moisture distribution under plastic mulch next day after irrigation at 1.0 Epan



Fig. 5a. Moisture distribution under no mulch day of irrigation at 0.8 Epan







Horizontal distance, cm

Fig. 4b. Moisture distribution under plastic mulch next day after irrigation at 1.0 Epan



Fig. 5b. Moisture distribution under paddy straw day of irrigation at 0.8 Epan



Horizontal distance, cm

Fig. 6b. Moisture distribution under plastic mulch day & dried leaves mulch day of irrigation at 0.8 Epan



Fig. 7a. Moisture distribution under no mulch & paddy straw mulch next day after irrigation at 0.8 Epan



Horizontal distance, cm

Fig. 8a. Moisture distribution under plastic mulch & dried leaves mulch next day after irrigation at 0.8 Epan

The moisture content of the field is also analyzed to observe water/moisture dynamics in the irrigated field for no mulch condition, the moisture content at surface layer of 10 cm was found to be 17.8 cm³ cm⁻³ immediately after irrigation, similarly a moisture content of 18.5 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 20 cm depth and 20.27 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 30 cm depth. a moisture content of 16.8 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed in the upper layer of 10 cm, 18.1 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 20 cm depth and 19.5 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at the 30 cm depth, hence these variation is because of the application of mulches and dynamics of water / moisture during the day of any irrigation level 0.8 E pan as shown in (Figs. 5, 6, 7 and 8), as well as the water / moisture dynamics of the field



Horizontal distance, cm

Fig. 7b. Moisture distribution under no mulch & paddy straw mulch next day after irrigation at 0.8 Epan



Horizontal distance, cm

Fig. 8b. Moisture distribution under plastic mulch & dried leaves mulch next day after irrigation at 0.8 Epan

day after irrigation as shown in (Figs. 5, 6, 7 and 8).

Similarly for irrigation level of 0.6 E pan the water/moisture dynamics are discussed as the moisture content of the field is also analyzed to observe water/moisture dynamics in the irrigated field for black plastic mulch condition, the moisture content at surface layer of (0-10 cm) was found to be 24.8 cm³ cm⁻³ Immediately after irrigation, similarly a moisture content of 21.1 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at a depth of 20 cm and 19.9 cm³ cm⁻³ was noted down at 30 cm depth. A moisture content also assets on the next day after irrigation moisture content of 20.1 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed in the upper layer of 10 cm, 18.5 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 20 cm depth and also



Fig. 9a. Moisture distribution under no mulch day & paddy straw mulch day of irrigation at 0.6 Epan











Horizontal distance, cm





Horizontal distance, cm











Horizontal distance, cm

Fig. 12a. Moisture distribution under plastic mulch & dried leaves mulch next day after irrigation at 0.6 Epan

observed moisture content of 17.1 cm³ cm⁻³ observed at 30 cm depth. Hence there is a difference of 4.7 cm³ cm⁻³ at top layer of 10 cm from first day to second day after irrigation; similarly there is a difference of 2.6 cm³ cm⁻³ at the layer of 20 cm and difference of 2.8 cm³ cm⁻³ at 30 cm depth. the results of soil moisture content in the present study indicated that, application of black plastic mulch would reduce the soil moisture evaporation which will enhance the uniform distribution availability of soil moisture for longer days.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Water dynamics in the irrigated field for black plastic mulch condition, the moisture content at surface layer of (0-10 cm) was found to be 38.2 cm³ cm⁻³ immediately after irrigation, similarly a moisture content of 30.2 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at a depth of 20 cm and 28.4 cm³ cm⁻³ was noted down at 30 cm depth. A moisture content also assessed on the next day after irrigation moisture content of 34.4 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed in the upper layer of 10 cm, 25.0 cm³ cm⁻³ was observed at 20 cm depth and also observed moisture content of 22.5 cm³ cm⁻³ observed at 30 cm depth. Hence there is a difference of 3.8 cm³ cm⁻³ at top layer of 10 cm from first day to second day after irrigation, similarly there is a difference of 5 cm³ cm⁻³ in the layer of 20 cm and difference of 8.4 cm³ cm⁻³ at 30 cm depth.

Compared to the black plastic mulch the other mulch conditions namely no mulch condition, paddy straw and dried leaves mulch had low moisture content values [16-18]. However in



Horizontal distance, cm

Fig. 12b. Moisture distribution under plastic mulch & dried leaves mulch next day after irrigation at 0.6 Epan

case of black plastic mulch the contours of soil moisture are seemed to be parallel and the results of soil moisture content in the present study indicated that, application of black plastic mulch would reduce the soil moisture evaporation which will enhance the uniform distribution availability of soil moisture for longer days.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Kanton R, Al Abbey L, Gbene RH. Studies on water potassium dynamics in soil under fertigation and furrow irrigation in radish. Journal of vegetable crop production. 2004;6:44-51.
- Narda NK, Lubana SP. Growth dynamics studies of tomatoes under sub-surface drip irrigation. Journal of Research, Punjab Agricultural University. 1999;36(3-4):222-233.
- Ramah K, Santhi P, Thiyagarajan G. moisture distribution pattern in drip irrigated maize based cropping system. Madras Agricultural Journal. 2011;98:51-55.
- Ramakrishna A, Hoang Minh Tam, Suhas P Wani, Tranh Dinh Long. Effect of mulch on soil temperature, moisture, weed infestation and yield of groundnut in northern Vietnam. Field Crops Research. 2006;95:115–125.

- Tawutchaisamongdee, Wonprasaid S, Horkaew P, Machikowa T. Moisture distribution patterns in loamy sand and sandy clay loam soils under drip irrigation system. In Proceedings of the ISER 124th International Conference, Tokyo, Japan. 2018;86–89.
- Thakur N. Organic farming, food quality, and human health: a trisection of sustainability and a move from pesticides to eco-friendly biofertilizers. In: Kumar V., Kumar M., Sharma S., Prasad R. (eds) Probiotics in Agroecosystem. Springer, Singapore; 2017.
- 7. Goldberg D, Shumeli M. sprinkle and trickle irrigation of green pepper in an arid zone. Horticulture science. 1971a;6:559-562.
- Goldberg D, Shumeli M. The effect of distance from the trickles on soil salinity growth and yield of seed corn in arid zone. Horticulture science. 1971b;6:565-567.
- Abdallah E, Badr Mohamed E, Abuarab. Soil moisture distribution patterns under surface and subsurface drip irrigation systems in sandy soil using neutron scattering technique. Irrigation Science. 2013;31:317–332.
- 10. Ali M, Prasad R. Soil moisture studies in fallow barley rotation under mulches, anti transpirant and type of seed bed. Journal of Indian Society of Soil Science. 1975;25:163-171.
- Bhandari V. Soil moisture distribution under point source in black clay soil. Unpublished M. Tech. Thesis, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India. 1995;79.

- Choudhary V, KandBhambri MC. Crop productivity, water use and weed control efficiency of capsicum (*Capsicum annum* L.) under drip irrigation and mulching. Journal of Agricultural Physics. 2013; 13(2):133-138.
- Solaiman AHM, Kabir MH, Jamal Uddin AFM, Mirza Hasanuzzaman. Black plastic mulch on flower production and petal coloration of aster. (*Callistephus chinensis*). American-Eurasian Journal of Botany. 2008;1(1):05-08.
- 14. Murugan M, Gopinath G. Influence of mulches on quality, flower yield and economics of Crossandra. Crop Research. 2001;22(2):236-240.
- Barman D. Rajini K Rampal, Upadhyaya. Effect of mulching on cut flower production and corn multiplication in gladiolus. The Journal of Orissa Horticulture. 2005; 8(2):152-154.
- Thakur N. Heat stability and antioxidant potential of beta-carotene isolated from a fungal isolate. Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science. 2018;24(5):891–896.
- Thakur N, Kumari S. Preliminary screening of phytochemicals and antimicrobial activity of Citrus pseudolimon. ADV TRADIT MED (ADTM); 2021. Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s13596-021-00561-y
- Zotarelli L, Scolberg JM, Dukes MD, Munoz-Carpena R. Tomato yield, biomass accumulation, root distribution and irrigation water use efficiency on a sandy soil, as affected by nitrogen rate and irrigation scheduling. Agricultural Water Management. 2009;96:23-34.

© 2022 Jawaharlal et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/86279