

Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International

33(62B): 201-206, 2021; Article no.JPRI.79791 ISSN: 2456-9119 (Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759)

Role of Imaging in Dental Implantology: A Review

Shreyash Chandak ^{a†}, Vidya Lohe ^{b*#}, Ravikant Sune ^{b≡}, Swapnil Mohod ^{b≡} and Mrunal Meshram ^{b≡}

^a Sharad Pawar Dental College and Hospital, Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences (Deemed to be University), Sawangi (Meghe), Wardha, India.
^b Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Sharad Pawar Dental College and Hospital, Datta Meghe Institute of Medical Sciences (Deemed to be University), Sawangi (Meghe) Wardha, (M.S.), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2021/v33i62B35187

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79791

> Received 24 October 2021 Accepted 27 December 2021 Published 29 December 2021

Review Article

ABSTRACT

Dental radiography has long been a fascinating and useful diagnostic tool in the field of dentistry with the ever-increasing number of imaging modalities this has never been more true. The only sort of (non-surgical) bone examination necessary for implant therapy is radiography. The decision to image and which imaging modalities to utilize is dependent on several variables, including identifying the quality and amount of bone in order to decide the optimal position for implant placement, detecting the presence or absence of pathosis, and patient availability at a reasonable expense. Furthermore, while selecting radiographic examinations, it is critical to reduce patient exposure to radiation to a minimum. This article reviews current implant planning concepts utilizing various radiographic modalities, as well as their application to enhance the clinician's role in efficient implant placement. The importance of pre-surgical treatment planning for successful implant therapy cannot be overstated. In this case, diagnostic imaging is critical. Various imaging techniques are accessible to help in the placement of the implant in the correct position with respective facility and predictability. Among the modalities referenced are intraoral radiography, panoramic radiography, computed tomography and cone beam CT. The use of CBCT is utilized by the specialist to outline the method of the course of nerves in the jawbone and to decide the

[†]BDS Intern;

^{*}Professor;

[■]Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: vidyalohekadu@gmail.com;

legitimate insert length. Inserts ought not be embedded into the sinuses. The vicinity of maxillary sinus may be accurately seen with CBCT. The surgeon can take exact measurements and pick the optimal implant length to prevent puncturing the maxillary sinus. For the optimal support, the right implant size can be chosen. The surgeon can use CBCT to analyze the accessible bone and select the dimensions that are suitable for the region. The CBCT programming produces improved picture, oblique and curved planar reorganization. Furthermore, minimizing the patient's radiation dosage during radiographic testing should always be a primary priority.

Keywords: Computerized tomography; cone beam computed tomography; extra oral radiography; implants; intraoral radiography.

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of contemporary dentistry is to restore a person's health the patient's typical shape, function, and appearance comfort, aesthetics, communication, and health if it's through the removal of cavities from a tooth involves removing and replacing multiple teeth [1].

The more teeth of a patient is absent, however, the more difficult this assignment might turn into ongoing treatment, research, and demonstrative devices embed plans, materials, and arranging unsurprising achievement is presently possible thanks to new strategies a reality for many people's recuperation clinical circumstances that are difficult in dental. The field of implantalogy has seen a lot of growth [2] and during the previous few years, there have been a lot of progress. The implant planning entails a number of steps. Radiographic examinations provide information about the quality and quantity of anatomical structures. The amount of accessible bone, as well as the existence of the occlusal pattern, infrabony lesions, and the number of implants as well as their size, all of which are necessary for prosthesis design for a successful implant procedure.

A decent dental prosthesis is supported by metal posts precisely embedded in the jaw. Dental inserts are metal presents that are utilized on replace missing teeth. Certain variables should be addressed while choosing an ideal implant site, such as recognizing the specific anatomy and determining the borders of the bone, just as its thickness and quality. It's also a good idea to check for any underlying bone abnormalities [3].

Intra-oral radiography, extra-oral radiography, computed tomography (CT), Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT), cone beam volumetric tomography (CBVT), and magnetic resonation imaging are a portion of the imaging modalities that are currently accessible. Computed tomography (CT) and cone beam computed tomography to get the biggest measure of data about the embed placement [3]. The choice of imaging technology is critical for obtaining the essential information with the highest level of dimensional precision. Presurgical, surgical, and post-prosthetic embed all advantage from imaging radiographic methodologies. Despite the fact that various imaging diagnostic approaches are available to evaluate potential implant locations, no one methodology is presently being used. Ideal for pre- and post-operative examinations Implants, imaging, implant radiology, and implant dentistry were among the terms utilized [4].

2. TYPES OF IMAGING MODALITIES

2.1 Periapical Radiography (PA)

- a) In the pre-surgical stage, PA gives significant standard planar imaging of a minuscule segment of the jaws. It is a high return approach for precluding oral sickness and identifying essential structures in a given region.
- b) It is utilized to decide the profundity, position, and direction of the implant/osteomy during the surgical phase.
- c) During the post-prosthetic stage, top caliber photographs of the dental implant and surrounding alveolar bone can be taken [5].

2.2 Panoramic Radiography

a) The image receptor might be a radiological film, a digital storage phosphor plate, or a digital charge coupled device receptor; this is the most

widely used diagnostic modality in the pre-surgical phase.

- b) In one film, jaws on each side are completely imaged.
- linear C) Limited angle tomography (zonography) is used to situate the patient for a cross-sectional scan of the jaws attempting to change the widely inclusive X-ray equipment. The 5 mm thick tomography layer helps with assessing the distance between fundamental constructions and the implant site, just as the amount of bone present at the implant site.

2.3 Digital X- ray Imaging

Digital radiology is a type of imaging in which the film has been replaced with a sensor that records the information.

- a) The final picture may be altered in a number of ways, including grayscale, brightness, contrast, and inversion.
- b) Computerized software tools enable the calibration of enlarged pictures, resulting in precise measurements.
- c) During the surgical phase, images are created instantly.

2.4 Transtomography

Welander et al. revealed how enhanced panoramic machines might provide direct digital transtomographic pictures by combining translational and pendular movement of the beam and detector.

- a) Images can be used in the same way that traditional tomography.
- b) Intraoperatively, measurements may be taken on the screen and rapid outcomes can be obtained using computer software (particularly during blind surgical operations). This is accomplished by employing а personalized silicon key to position the patient. When compared to traditional tomography and CT scans, this allows for less picture distortion [6].

2.5 Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

MRI can be use to collect sectional information prior to the placement of an osseointegrated dental implant in bone.

- a) MRI clearly shows the availability of bone and the distinction between cortical and cancellous bone. This aid assists in the collection of information on greatest implant length, angulations, and solidness [7].
- b) Positive clinical effects are easily evident as a result of the essential structures.
- c) It is extremely helpful for soft tissue imaging when it is necessary. T1weighted sequences are suggested, and Gray CF et al suggested an underlying pilot scan with a low-goal angle reverberation arrangement in each of the three planes. The sagittal plane should be taken to get advantage to achieve good-resolution. The slice with the markers is utilized to make a succession of cross-sectional high-resolution photos 90 degrees to the region of interest out of these cuts [8].

2.6 CT (Computerized Tomography) (CT)

CT is use in imaging TMJ and dent alveolar lesions, assessing maxillofacial abnormalities, and evaluating the maxillofacial area pre- and post-operatively has been explored. As part of the post-imaging analysis, the image data is reformatted to provide tangential and crosssectional tomography pictures of the intended implant location [9]. The reformatted images are seen with a section thickness of 1 pixel (0.25 mm) and an in-plane resolution of 1 pixel by scan spacing (0.5 to 1.5 mm), producing a geometric resolution similar to planar imaging. The quantitative structural density of the picture may be used to differentiate tissue and characterize bone quality [10].

In dental implantlogy, computer techniques are utilized to revamp information and turn axial photos into oblique images that follow the curve of the alveolar ridge bone. CT examining programming kills the chance of manual establishment blunders and adjusts intending to prosthetic prerequisites in basic anatomic situations and for implant placement in most ideal position in bone [11]. Computer aided design/CAM strategies might be utilized to treat single tooth edentulous spaces, single tooth sincere extraction conditions, to some degree edentulous spaces. absolutely edentulous maxillary and mandible over dental substitution cases, and completely edentulous maxillary or mandibular entire curve long-lasting reclamations. Dentascan is a CT programming program that takes into consideration imaging in three planes:pivotal, all encompassing, and cross-sectional. In the mid-1980s, it was originally released.

It has been widely utilized as a preoperative tool for implant surgery because it allows for a thorough examination of bone morphology and dental implant measurement [12].

2.7 Computed Tomography using a Cone Beam

The Cone Beam CT Scan (CBCT) creates threedimensional pictures of the jawbones, teeth, and surrounding vital structures, which are significant in the preparation of dental implant situation. Software can be used to transform the picture data acquired to enable for personalized anatomical viewing [13]. A range of craniofacial disorders, including jaw bone anomalies, are diagnosed using cone beam tomography. A cone-molded light emission radiation travels through the centre of the targeted location, an X-ray detector. Cone striking beam tomography is utilized in dentistry for a variety of purposes, including dental traumatology, apical medical procedure; serious periodontal bone abnormalities. endodontic. orthodontics. preoperative periodontal medical procedure arranging, criminological odontology, and dental implant a medical procedure. CBCT is useful in overcoming the limitations of conventional radiography in exploring the periodontal bone loss in 3dimensions.CBCT is also beneficial in knowing the accessory canal exact location of periapical infection. CBCT might be utilized to imagine the maxillofacial skeleton in 3D, and the picture volume can be reproduced in any plane. Cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) is utilized in implantalogy for both guantitative and subjective bone appraisal in addition to the detection of bone deterioration at the implant location [14].

When compared to a traditional CT scan, the main benefits of CBCT are reduced CT scanner size and cost, improved picture resolution, and lower radiation exposure. CBCT has a lower radiation exposure than traditional computed

tomography, ranging from 2 to 8 panoramic radiographs. CBCT has no picture distortion or magnification. unlike periapical radiography and panoramic radiography [15]. Subsequently, the measure of bone in expansiveness and stature at the embed site might be precisely surveyed utilizing CBCT. With CBCT, the nature of the bone, the thickness of the cortical plate, the trabecular example, and the association of any fundamental constructions, for example, the second rate alveolar nerve may be in every way assessed unequivocally at the embed site. Exposure to radiation, a long checking time. and the X-beam region locators restricted unique reach are likewise disadvantages of CBCT. Proper implant orientation with it supra-structure are the important aspects of implantology [16]. A CBCT combined with an optical range of the patient's teeth to build a full virtual model of the patient's bone, teeth, and sensitive tissue. The dental specialist will next make an ideal occlusion and exact implant position to help the proposed restoration.

Nerve damage prevention: CBCT is used by the surgeon to map out the way of the sensory nerves in the jawbone and decide the implant length. Implant should not be inserted into the sinuses. The maxillary sinus and its situation corresponding to open bone might be precisely seen with CBCT [17-18]. The surgeon can take exact measurements and pick the optimal implant length to prevent puncturing the maxillary sinus. For the optimal support, choose the right implant size. The surgeon can use CBCT to the access bone and select the suitable implant that is proper for the accommodating that region. The CBCT programming produces two ongoing further developed picture, planar reconstruction and cured planar reorganization. Third molar impactions and TMJ are surveyed by diagonal planar reorganization [19]. Bended planar reorganization supports the arrangement of flimsy cut pictures of the dental curve for aetting to bone morphology through sequential transplanar transformation. decidina а relationship of basic constructions with affected third molars, assessing TMJ and obsessive conditions influencing the maxilla and mandible, and assessing TMJ and neurotic conditions influencing the maxilla and mandible [20].

The number of voxels in a slide can be raised to thicken multi-planar volume reformations. This assists in the construction of a non-distorted" raysum" picture of patient.

3. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The use of endosteal implants in the rehabilitation of dental patients is one of the most advanced types of dental treatment available today. Effective implant placement requires an appropriate forehand planning. This can be accomplished by utilizing a number of imaging modalities. Two-dimensional modalities are broadly accessible to patients without much exposure to radiation. They have limitations in terms of amplifications and superimpositions, and the clinician can't foster a three-dimensional picture.

Conventional radiography has limited utility when it comes to implant imaging. Regardless of its panoramic radiography is the low cost. recommended method. However. CT is recommended for the quantitative and subjective assessments of bone for implant placement. Multi-cut helical CT has an advantage over traditional CT in that it can quickly cover a larger anatomic region while reducing patient mobility. CBCT is a new method for dental implant imaging that allows for quick data capture with less radiation exposure. It creates pictures that are similar to those seen in clinical practice. As a result, CBCT is the preferred method for implant imaging due to its superior benefits over other techniques.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Beagle JR. The immediate placement of endosseous dental implants in fresh extraction sites. Dent Clin N Am. 2006;50:375-389.
- 2. Monsour PA, Dudhia R. Implant radiography and radiology. Aust Dent J. 2008;53(1):S11-S25.
- Ganz SD. Three-dimensional imaging and guided surgery for dental implants. Dent Clin N Am. 2015;59:265-290.

- 4. Gray CF, Redpath TW, Smith FW, Staff RT. Advanced imaging: Magnetic resonance imaging in implant dentistry-a review. Clin Oral Impl Res. 2003;14:18-27.
- Delbalso AM, Greiner FG, Licata M. Role of diagnostic imaging in evaluation of dental implant patient. Radiographics. 1994;14(4):699-719.
- Del Balso AM, Hall RE. Advances in maxillofacial Imaging. Curr Probl Diagn Radiol. 1993;22(3):92-142.
- 7. Misch CE. Dental implant prosthetics. 2nd edition. St. Louis: Mosby Elsevier; 2015.
- 8. Floyd P, Palmer P, Palmer R. Radiographic technique. Br Dent J. 1999;187(7):359-365.
- 9. Whaites E, Drage N. Essentials of dental radiography and radiology. 5th edition. Churchill Livingstone: Elsevier science; 2013.
- Karjodkar FR. Text book of dental and maxilofacial radiology. 2nd edition. Jaypee Brothers Medical Publishers (Pvt) Ltd; 2011.
- 11. White SC, Pharoah MJ. Oral radiology Principles and Interpretation.5th Edition. St. Louis: Mosby Elsevier; 2004.
- 12. Bhat S, Shetty S, Shenoy KK. Imaging in implantology. J Indian Prosthodont Soc. 2005;5(1):10-14.
- Misch CE. Contemporary implant dentistry. 3rd edition. St. Louis: Mosby Elsevier; 2007.
- Lingam AS, Reddy L, Nimma V, Pradeep K .Dental implant radiology – Emerging Concepts in planning implants. J Orofac Sci. 2013; 5(2):88-94.
- 15. Maloney PL, Lincoln RE, Coyne CP. A protocol for the management of compound mandibular fractures based on the time from injury to treatment. J Oral Maxillofacial Surgery. 2001;59(8):879-884.
- Rondon RHN, Pereira YCL, Nascimento GC. Common positioning errors in panoramic radiography: A Review. Imaging Sci Dent. 2014;44:1-6.
- 17. Bagchi P, Joshi N. Role of Radiographic Evaluation in Treatment Planning For Dental Implants: A Review. J Dent Allied Sci. 2012;1(1):21-25.
- Dubey A, Dangore khasbage S, Bhowate R. Assessment of Maxillo-Mandibular Implant Sites by Digitized Volumetric Tomography. Journal of Evolution of Medical and Dental Sciences-Jemds. 2019;8:3780–3784.

Chandak et al.; JPRI, 33(62B): 201-206, 2021; Article no.JPRI.79791

- Lingeshwar D, Dhanasekar B, Aparna IN. Diagnostic Imaging in Implant Dentistry. Int J Oral Implant Clin Research. 2010;1(3): 147-153.
- 20. Parelli J, Abramowicz S. Immediate Placement and Immediate Loading Surgical Technique and Clinical Pearls. Dent Clin N Am. 2015;59(2):345-355.

© 2021 Chandak et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/79791