

Journal of Experimental Agriculture International

Volume 46, Issue 9, Page 804-812, 2024; Article no.JEAI.121875 ISSN: 2457-0591 (Past name: American Journal of Experimental Agriculture, Past ISSN: 2231-0606)

Factors Promoting and Impeding Diversification of Forestry Resourcebased Livelihoods in the Leh Himalaya of Ladakh Union Territory, India

F.A. Shah Khan ^a, M.A. Islam ^{a*}, A.A. Gatoo ^a, G.M. Bhat ^b, A.A. Parrey ^c, M.R. Bakshi ^b, Ummar Atta ^a and Chandramolly ^{d++}

 ^a Division of Forest Resource Management, Faculty of Forestry, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, Benhama, Ganderbal, Jammu and Kashmir, India.
^b Division of Silviculture and Agroforestry, Faculty of Forestry, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, Benhama, Ganderbal, Jammu and Kashmir, India.
^c Division of Wildlife Sciences, Faculty of Forestry, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir, Benhama, Ganderbal, Jammu and Kashmir, India.
^d Agricultural Technology Management Agency (ATMA), Chhatarmandu, Ramgarh-829122 (Jharkhand), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92877

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121875

> Received: 23/06/2024 Accepted: 30/08/2024 Published: 13/09/2024

Original Research Article

++ Block Technology Manager;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: ajaztata@gmail.com;

Cite as: Khan, F.A. Shah, M.A. Islam, A.A. Gatoo, G.M. Bhat, A.A. Parrey, M.R. Bakshi, Ummar Atta, and Chandramolly. 2024. "Factors Promoting and Impeding Diversification of Forestry Resource-Based Livelihoods in the Leh Himalaya of Ladakh Union Territory, India". Journal of Experimental Agriculture International 46 (9):804-12. https://doi.org/10.9734/jeai/2024/v46i92877.

ABSTRACT

Livelihood diversification through strengthening forestry resource-based interventions is an effective strategy for poverty alleviation and socioeconomic upliftment for downtrodden people. The study investigated the promoting and impeding factors that are crucial for the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods in Leh Himalaya of Ladakh Union Territory. The study is based on personal interviews with the selected 185 respondents through a structured interview schedule and non-participant observations carried out in the 9 sample villages of 5 blocks using a multi-stage random sampling technique. Descriptive statistics, including frequency, percentage, average, and simple ranking technique, were used to analyze the data. The findings based on their weighted mean score (WMS) and percentage score (PS) revealed that among the factors promoting the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods, forest resource self-sufficiency (WMS-1.98, PS-12.28%) was perceived as the most effective, while utilization of free time (WMS-0.73, PS-4.53%) was considered the least effective. Conversely, the most effective impeding factor for the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods considered among the people was laborintensive and less remunerative livelihoods (WMS-1.96, PS-11.80%), while lack of cold storage facilities (WMS-0.74, PS-4.46%) was opined as the least effective. The insights into the promoting and impeding factors for diversification of forestry-based livelihoods highlighted in the study will be the basis for the planning and implementation of forestry programmes for forestry resource production and livelihood diversification in the Leh district of Ladakh. To make forestry-based livelihoods sustainable and reduce vulnerability, the prominent promoting and impeding factors must be exploited efficiently.

Keywords: Promoting factors; impeding factors; forestry resources; livelihood diversifications; Leh, Ladakh.

1. INTRODUCTION

The indigenous populations in the Leh area of Ladakh share forestry resources as a common thread in all facets of life, including birth, marriage, livelihood, and death [1]. The district's residents depend heavily on the forestrv their resources for survival and progress. Forestry resources are crucial tools for tackling poverty challenges for marginalized rural populations because they support livelihoods such as food security, income, health, and sustainable human development [2]. Forestry resources have multifarious uses, constituting an important source of livelihood among local people in the district, and are the source of revenue, employment, shelter, housing materials, cloth, ornament, fuel, fodder, grazing, timber, food, vegetables, medicines, fertilizer, fibre, floss, oilseed, cottage industries, handicrafts, and other forestry resources, besides playing a vital role in the environmental amelioration in the district [3]. Forestry resources comprised eleven types of plant parts, which were extensively collected from 167 herbs, shrubs, trees, and climbers and consumed under eighteen different use categories [4]. Forestry resources are the 3rd major component of the household economy, contributing overwhelmingly to the gross annual income and employment opportunities, besides acting as a safety net in cases of exigency [4].

The forestry resources play a crucial role in the livelihood security of the local people by sustaining food security, livestock production, agricultural support, bio-energy security, housing, cottage industry, health care, socio-culture, income, and employment [1].

The rural communities in the district are socially, educationally, economically, and politically backward, with accompanying impediments of low literacy, poverty, and malnutrition [5]. They have their own ways of life, traditions, cultural identities, and customary modes of living closely intertwined with nature [6]. Unemployment and underemployment features are inherent in the district, causing low income and a miserable life for the households [7]. The forestry resources are an important contributor to the livelihoods of the local communities in the district [1]. The forestry resources are an imperative part of the traditional life style in Leh district of Ladakh. Traditional and ancient knowledge about the utilization of forestry resources still exists in the Leh district. The forestry resource development with agricultural and integrated industrial progress has great potential to enhance livelihood security, food security, and poverty reduction for vulnerable sections of society, including the illiterate, unskilled, resource-poor, jobless, landless, and labourers in the area [3].

The diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods is now a prioritized means of poverty alleviation and socio-economic development [4]. As all of the total population of Leh is overwhelmingly dependent on forestry resources for livelihood security, it is inevitably true that no economic breakthrough is possible without the of forestry resource-based diversification livelihoods [8]. Now a day, the forestry resourcebased petty enterprises the people start are generally for survival and struggle against poverty, but a little support from the GOs, NGOs, CBOs, and other agencies can set them up beyond the boundary and they can come to the mainstream of the economy. The rural vulnerable groups become empowered due to monetary access from the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods, get control over their resources, and gain respect in society as human beings [8]. However, diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods depends mainly on some promoting and impeding factors. The potential impacts of promoting and impeding factors in the diversification of forestry resourcebased livelihoods are often underestimated or unknown. With this background, the current research was undertaken to identify the factors promoting and impeding the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods in the Leh Himalaya and assess their effectiveness and contributory impacts on the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Description of Study Area

Leh district (Fig. 1.), with an area of 45110 sq. km, which probably makes it the largest district in the country in terms of area, is one of the coldest and most elevated inhabited regions of the world, having 112 inhabited villages and one uninhibited village with an altitude ranging from 2900 to 5900 metres [9]. The district is situated roughly between 32- and 36-degrees north latitude and 75- and 80-degrees east longitude, with an altitude ranging from 2300 metres to 500 metres above sea level. The district is bounded by Pakistan-occupied Kashmir in the west, China in the north and eastern part, and Laguan Spite of Himachal Pradesh in the south. The district is at a distance of 434 km from Srinagar and 474 km from Manali (HP). Topographically, the whole of the district is mountainous, with three parallel ranges of the Himalaya. The district consists of nine (9) blocks, viz., Leh, Khalsi, Khru, Nyoma, Durbok, Saspol, Panamic, Chuchot, and

Nubra. Due to its location and high altitude, the Leh district experiences heavy early precipitation (snow), which results in its being cut off from the rest of the country for six months of the year. The district remains inaccessible, as the road links from Srinagar as well as Himachal Pradesh remain closed due to the closure of Zojila and Rotang passes due to heavy snowfall in the winters. The human population of Leh district is 117232 [10]. The density of population is 3 people per sq. km. Leh district is one of the places where population density is lowest on the inhibited part of the earth. Approximately 23.30% of the of the population is semi-urban, and the remaining 76.70% is rural. The main occupations engaged by the working force are cultivation, agriculture labour, household industry, and other The Ladakh Autonomous works. Hill Development Council emerged in September 1995 as the main development agency of the district.

2.2 Sampling Technique and Sample

The current investigation was carried out in the Ladakh Union Territory's Leh area. The villages and the respondents were chosen using a multistage random sampling technique [11]. The first phase was the random selection of five (5) blocks from the Leh district: Leh, Nyoma Chochot, Panamic, and Khaltsi. The second phase involved random sampling of ten (10) villages, viz., Saboo from Leh Block, Chumathang and Mud from Nyoma Block, Stakna and Nang from Chochot Block, Lakjung and Panamic from Panamic Block, and Dha, Lamavuru, and Nurla from Khaltsi Block. In the third phase, 185 households in total were selected using a simple random selection technique for the field study, with a sampling intensity of 15% from the sample villages. The interviewees were either the head of the household or the oldest family members. The flow chart, shown in Fig. 2, summarizes the procedure used to choose the samples.

2.3 Data Collection

In order to achieve the research objectives, the present study included both qualitative and quantitative methods. Data were collected by using both secondary sources and primary field surveys. Secondary sources included literature from various journals, forest department records, village records, the internet, previous research, annual reports and other related documents, and different governmental and non-governmental



Fig. 1. Location map of the study area



Fig. 2. Sampling procedure

agencies. Primary sources included structured interviews with selected respondents and nonparticipant observations [12]. The primary data were collected at the household level, whereas the secondary data were collected at the block, village, and household/individual level.

2.3.1 Structured interview

The primary data were collected by the personal interviews of the respondents through a well-

pre-tested interview schedule structured at Interview household level. schedule for household survey was prepared on the basis of literature referred, reconnaissance survey of the study area, and discussion with local people and consultation with the experts. The interview schedule so prepared was employed to collect information on people's perceptions of factors promoting and impeding diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods in the locality. The data, thus generated through these approaches,

was used in exploring the impacts of factors in promoting and impeding diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods to put forth strategies to keep pace with current development and future challenges in the locality.

2.3.2 Non-participant observation

The qualitative analysis was done on the basis of personal observation and interaction with the respondents. This technique helped to have firsthand on-the-scenes contact with the respondents, examine the behavior in natural situation and study the situation-based features of conduct.

2.4 Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics, including frequency (f), percentage (%), average (x), standard error, and range [13], were used to summarize the socioeconomic variables of the forestry farmers. The findings were calculated as a weighted mean score (WMS) for each of the promoting and impeding factors using the simple ranking technique. The weighted mean score (WMS) for each factor was obtained by multiplying the frequencies with their respective scores, adding them up, and dividing by the total number of people as follows:

 $\frac{\text{Weighted mean score (WMS)} = (\text{No. of HE} \times 2) + (\text{No. of ME} \times 1) + (\text{No. of LE} \times 0)}{\text{Total no. of HI} + \text{MI} + \text{LI}}$

Where, HE = Highly effective, ME = Moderately effective, and LE = Least effective

Data were processed and analyzed with MS Excel and SPSS software and displayed through table and graph.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Factors Promoting the Diversification of Forestry Resourcebased Livelihoods in the Leh Himalaya

The ranking of promoting factors for the diversification of forestry-based livelihoods based on their weighted mean score (WMS) and percentage score (PS) revealed that the most important promoting factor (Rank 1st) as perceived by the local people was forest resource self-sufficiency (WMS-1.98, PS-12.28%) followed by support for livestock rearing (WMS-1.92, PS-11.90%), income generation

(WMS-1.64. PS-10.17%). employment generation (WMS-1.62, PS-10.04%), support to agriculture (WMS-1.52, PS-9.42%), food and PS-9.05%), nutrition security (WMS-1.46, migration decline (WMS-1.34, PS-8.31%), assets creation for emergency (WMS-1.21, PS-7.50%), poverty alleviation (WMS-0.99, PS-6.14%), socio-economic development (WMS-0.97, PS-6.01%), sustenance to traditional and religious activities (WMS-0.75, PS-4.65%) and utilization of free time (WMS-0.73, PS-4.53%), which were ranked from 2nd to 12th respectively (Table 1 & Fig. 3).

The analysis of WMS and PS of promoting factors for diversification of forestry resourcebased livelihood security, viz., forest resource self-sufficiency, support to livestock rearing, income generation, employment generation, support to agriculture, and food and nutrition security (WMS, 1.46 to 1.98 & PS-9.05% to 12.28%) indicated that these factors were considered 'highly effective' by most of the respondents because of their direct impact on their subsistence, income, standard of living, well-being, and survival. On the other hand, the promoting factors namely, migration decline, assets creation emergency, for poverty development, alleviation, socio-economic sustenance to traditional and religious activities and utilization of free time (WMS, 0.73 to 1.34 & 8.31%) PS-4.53% to were considered 'moderately effective' in promoting the diversification of forestry resources-based livelihoods among most of the respondents in the sample villages. Such rating of these promoting factors by the respondents might be due to the fact that accrual of these benefits to the households is difficult to value in economic terms. None of the promoting factors has been expressed as 'least effective' having WMS < 0.50 by the respondents in the surveyed area. The studies [14,15,16,17] had the support of present findings.

3.2 Factors Impeding the Diversification of Forestry Resource-Based Livelihoods in the Leh Himalaya

The ranking of impeding factors for the diversification of forestry-based livelihoods using WMS and PS indicated that the most important factor (Rank 1st) as opined by the local people, was labuor-intensive and less remunerative livelihood (WMS-1.96, PS-11.80%) followed by seasonal subsistence (WMS-1.93, PS-11.62%), supplementary income (WMS-1.71, PS-10.30%),

limited marketing facilities (WMS-1.68, PS-10.11%), lack of co-operative societies (WMS-1.56, PS-9.39%), lack of modern techniques (WMS-1.44, PS-8.67%), influence of middlemen

(WMS-1.31, PS-7.89%), harassment by officials in forestry resources collection and marketing (WMS-1.22, PS-7.34%), scarcity of

Fable 1. Promoting factors o	f the diversification of forestry	y resource-based livelihoods	(N=185)
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------	---------

Promoting factors	Degree of effectiveness			Weighted	Rank
	Highly	Moderately	Least	mean	
	effective	effective	effective	score	
Employment generation	135 (72.97)	30 (16.21)	20 (10.82)	1.62	4 ^a
Income generation	137 (74.05)	29 (15.67)	19 (10.28)	1.64	3 ^a
Migration decline	103 (55.67)	42 (22.70)	40 (21.63)	1.34	7 ^b
Sustenance to traditional	26 (14.05)	87 (47.02)	72 (38.93)	0.75	11 ^b
and religious activities					
Utilization of free time	23 (12.43)	89 (48.11)	73 (39.46)	0.73	12 ^b
Food and nutrition security	117 (63.24)	36 (19.46)	32 (17.30)	1.46	6 ^b
Forest resource self-	181 (97.83)	04 (2.17)	0.00 (0.00)	1.98	1 ^a
sufficiency					
Support to agriculture	121 (65.40)	39 (21.08)	25 (13.52)	1.52	5 ^a
Support to livestock rearing	170 (91.89)	15 (8.11)	0.00 (0.00)	1.92	2 ^a
Assets creation for	87 (47.02)	49 (26.49)	49 (26.49)	1.21	8 ^b
emergency	. ,	. ,	. ,		
Socio-economic	54 (29.18)	71 (38.37)	60 (32.45)	0.97	10 ^b
development	. ,	. ,	. ,		
Poverty alleviation	60 (32.45)	64 (34.59)	61 (32.96)	0.99	9 ^b

Note:- WMS= Weighted mean score; Figures in the parentheses indicate percentages; WMS followed by different superscript letters within the column are significantly different (p < 0.05)



Fig. 3. Contribution of promoting factors to the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods

Impeding factors	Degree of effectiveness			Weighted	Rank
	Highly	Moderatel	Least	mean	
	effective	y effective	effective	score	
Lack of co-operative societies	130 (70.27)	29 (15.67)	26 (14.05)	1.56	5 ^a
Influence of middlemen	97 (52.43)	48 (25.94)	40 (21.63)	1.31	7 ^b
Lack of right and responsibilities	59 (31.89)	63 (34.05)	63 (34.06)	0.98	10 ^b
to access, manage and use					
forestry resources					
Supplementary income	147 (79.45)	23 (12.43)	15 (8.12)	1.71	3 ^a
Limited marketing facilities	143 (77.29)	25 (13.51)	17 (9.20)	1.68	4 ^a
Lack of modern techniques	117 (63.24)	32 (17.29)	36 (19.47)	1.44	6 ^b
Scarcity of transportation	83 (44.86)	43 (23.24)	59 (31.90)	1.13	9 ^b
facilities					
Lack of cold storage facilities	28 (15.13)	81 (43.78)	76 (41.09)	0.74	12 ^b
Harassment by officials in	81 (43.78)	63 (34.05)	41 (22.17)	1.22	8 ^b
forestry resources collection					
and marketing					
Labour intensive and less	178 (96.21)	07 (3.78)	0.00 (0.00)	1.96	1 ^a
remunerative livelihood					
Seasonal subsistence	172 (92.97)	13 (7.02)	0.00 (0.00)	1.93	2 ^a
Unsafe and unsecured working	53 (28.64)	69 (37.29)	63 (34.07)	0.95	11 ^b
environment	. ,	. ,	. ,		

Table 2. Impeding factors of the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods (N=185)

Note:- WMS= Weighted mean score; Figures in the parentheses indicate percentages; WMS followed by different superscript letters within the column are significantly different (p < 0.05)



Fig. 4. Contribution of impeding factors to the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods

transportation facilities (WMS-1.13, PS-6.80%), manage and use forest resources (WMS-0.98, lack of right and responsibilities to access, PS-5.90%), unsafe and unsecured working

environment (WMS-0.95, PS-5.72%) and lack of cold storage facilities (WMS-0.74, PS-4.46%) which were ranked from 2nd to 12th respectively (Table 2 & Fig. 4).

The WMS (1.56 to 1.96) and PS (9.39% to 11.80%) of the impeding factors for the diversification of forestrv resources-based livelihood security, namely, labour intensive and less remunerative livelihood. seasonal subsistence. supplementary income, limited marketing facilities, and lack of cooperative societies, showed that these are the 'highly effective' factors encountered by the local people in the sample villages, as these factors seem to have alienated some people from undertaking the forestry resources-based livelihoods. The impeding factors for the diversification of forestry resources-based livelihood security, viz., lack of modern techniques, influence of middlemen, harassment by officials in forestry resources marketing, collection and scarcity of transportation facilities, lack of rights and responsibilities to access, manage, and use forest resources, unsafe and unsecured working environments, and lack of cold storage facilities (WMS, 0.74 to 1.44 and PS, 4.46% to 8.67%). were experienced as 'moderately effective' sampled population. among the Such perceptions of these impeding factors by the local people might be due to the fact that these factors seem to have hindering and adverse effects on the forestry-based livelihoods of the local people in the surveyed area. No impeding factor has been perceived as 'least effective' with a WMS < 0.50 by the local people sampled during the survey. The observations made by earlier studies by [14,15,16,17] supported the present findings.

4. CONCLUSION

The study led to the conclusion that certain factors, both promoting and hindering, have substantially contributed to the diversification of forestry resource-based livelihoods in the locality. The promoting factors motivate the people and catalyse the establishment of forestry resourcebased businesses, while the hindering factors restrict the start of these enterprises, and these need to be overcome. This study gives insight into the different promoting and hindering factors of the diversification of forestry-based livelihoods, which can be used to strengthen forestry enterprises. To make the forestry resourcebased livelihoods sustainable and reduce their vulnerability, the prominent promoting and hindering factors must be given due importance

during the decision-making, implementation, and execution of livelihood strategies for the all-round development of society in the locality. Appropriate measures should be taken to exploit the promoting factors of forestry resource-based livelihoods in the motivation of local people, and efforts should be made to minimize the effectiveness of the impeding factors of forestry resource-based livelihoods. The promoting and impeding factors having substantial impacts on forestry resource-based livelihoods should be given due consideration for policy implications during the planning and implementation of specific strategies for improving and strengthening resource-based forestry livelihoods.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I warmly acknowledge the various sources and publications from which valuable insights for this research were drawn. We extend our thanks to the staff of the state line departments for procuring secondary data for the study. We appreciate the support, hospitality, and information sharing shown by village heads, local leaders, village officials, and the entire community throughout the field survey.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fatima S, Bhat GM, Islam MA, Rather TA, Rashid Μ. Dar MUD. Traditional agroforestry systems practiced in Leh district of Ladakh union territory, India. The Pharma Innovation Journal. 2022:SP-11(9):2946-2952.
- 2. Kumar M, Kalra N, Singh H, Sharma S, Rawat PS, Singh RK, Gupta AK, Kumar P, NH. Indicator-based Ravindranath of vulnerability assessment forest ecosystem in Indian Western the Himalayas: An analytical hierarchy process integrated approach. Ecological Indicators. 2021:125(2021). DOI:107568https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolin

d.2021.107568

- 3. Bhat GM. Fatima S. Masoodi TH. Islam MA, Rather TA, Pala NA, Rashid M, Dar MUD. Livelihood dependencv on agroforestry systems in the Trans Himalayan region of Ladakh. Indian Journal of Agroforestry. 2022;24(2);103-110.
- Shah Khan FA, Islam MA, Gangoo SA, Gatoo AA, Mughal AH, Maqbool S, Atta U. Health care and livelihood support through medicinal plants in indigenous communities of Leh district in Ladakh. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7(6):1888-1893.
- Malik AR, Namgyal D, Bhat GM, Sofi PA, Islam MA, Baba JA, Mugloo JA. Integrated approach of sustainable agroforestry development in cold arid deserts region of Indian Himalaya. In: Kumar, M, Pala, N.A. and Bhat, JA. (eds), Diversity and Dynamics in Forest Ecosystems, Apple Academic Press Inc., Palm Bay, USA. 2022;195-214.
- Namgial J, Prabhakar M, Bishist R, Gautam KL, Sharma H, Sharma A. Identification of Different Land Use Systems in Leh Region of Himalayan Cold Desert. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2020;9(11):2768-2778. DOI:https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2020.9 11.336
- Raj A, Angchuk D, Islam MA. Livelihood dependence on highland pastoralism (Doksa) in Trans-Himalayan region of Zanskar, Ladakh. International Journal of Environment and Climate Change. 2020;10(12):67-76. DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2020/v10i1230285
- Fatima S, Bhat GM, Islam MA, Rashid M, 8. Pala NA, Rather TA, Dutt V, Parrey AA. influencing adoption Factors of agroforestry systems in Ladakh region. of International Journal Agriculture Extension Social Development. and 2024;7(7): 6-11.

DOI:https://doi.org/10.33545/26180723.20 24.v7.i7a.757

- 9. Anonymous. Directorate of Economics and Statistics, District Statistics and Evaluation Office, Leh, Jammu and Kashmir; 2011.
- Census of India, A 5 State Primary Census Abstract – 2011, Government of India; 2011.
- 11. Ray GL, Mondol S. Research Methods in Social Sciences and Extension Education. Kalyani Publishers, New Delhi, 2011;66-76.
- 12. Mangal SK, Mangal S. Research Methodology in Behavioural Science. PHI Learning Private Limited. Delhi, India; 2020.
- Gomez AA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for Agriculture Research, New York, Wiley. 1984;272-356.
- 14. Islam MA, Sofi PA, Rai R, Quli SMS. Factors influencing forest based tribal livelihoods in Jharkhand. Trends in Biosciences, 2014;7(2): 238-242.
- Sheikh Shah SSG. Contribution of Wicker Handicraft to Rural Livelihood in District Pulwama of Kashmir. M.Sc. Forestry Thesis (Unpublished). Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir (J&K), Srinagar; 2015.
- Islam MA, Wani AA, Bhat GM, Gatoo AA, Murtaza Shah, Atta U, Sheikh Shah SSG. Diagnostic SWOT appraisal of the wicker handicraft entrepreneurship development in Kashmir, India. Journal of Applied and Natural Science. 2020;12(2): 193–201.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.31018/jans.vi.2279

 Beig IH. Exploration of SWOT and determinant factors for on-farm woodlot management in Gaderbal district of Kashmir. M.Sc. Forestry Thesis (Unpublished), Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences & Technology of Kashmir (J&K), Srinagar; 2023.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/121875